## UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER SCHOOL OF MEDICINE AND DENTISTRY

#### **GRADUATE STUDIES HANDBOOK**

for the

## **DEGREE PROGRAMS**

in

## **BIOCHEMISTRY**

REVISED 09/25

J. Munger, Director of Graduate Studies M. Arcoraci, Graduate Studies Coordinator

#### **PREFACE**

This handbook is intended to summarize the major features and policies of the program leading to the Ph.D. in Biochemistry. Students and advisors will need to consult:

- 1. The BMB Handbook policies set forth below
- 2. Regulations and University Policies Concerning Graduate Studies: [https://www.rochester.edu/graduate-education/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/4414 Regulations February 2024 Final.pdf]
- 3. University of Rochester Official Bulletin Graduate Education [https://www.rochester.edu/graduate-education/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/GradBulletin WebFINAL.pdf]

Policy, of course, continues to evolve in response to the changing needs of the graduate programs and the students in them. Thus, it is wise to verify any crucial decisions with the Program Directors and the Graduate Studies Coordinator.

Although the Ph.D. in Biochemistry is primarily a research degree, it also encompasses a certain breadth of training in areas that are not directly related to the thesis research project. This breadth is best attained by formal courses, attendance at and participation in various seminar programs, teaching, and research activities including publication.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

			Page			
I.	Ph.D. in BIOCHEMISTRY - PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS					
	A.	General Information	1			
	B.	Courses	1			
		Required	1			
		Courses Required Each Semester	1			
		Elective Courses				
		Exemptions from Course Work Requirements				
		Policy Regarding Grades				
		Policy Regarding Plagiarism	3			
		Policy Regarding Artificial Intelligence	4			
	C.	Seminar Requirement	4			
	D.	Additional Requirements – First Year	4			
	Δ.	Laboratory Rotations and schedule	4-5			
		2. Choosing an Advisor	6			
		3. Radiation Certificate	6			
		4. First Year Assessment	-			
	E.	Additional Requirements - Second Year	6			
	Ľ.	1. Teaching Assistantship	6			
		2. Thesis Advisory Committee	6			
		3. Student Seminar	7			
			7			
	F.					
	Г.	Additional Requirements – Third and Succeeding Years				
		Research and Qualifying Examination				
		2. Research and Thesis Preparation				
		3. Student Seminar	7			
		4. Committee Meeting and Research Review				
	G.	Final Examination	1			
II.	STUI	DENT RESEARCH SEMINARS	7			
III.	YEA	RLY PROGRESS REPORT AND RESEARCH REVIEW	7			
	A.	Guidelines for Annual Student Committee Meeting				
		and Research Review	8			
IV.	THES	SIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE	9			
V.	QUA	LIFYING EXAMINATION	10			
	A. S	uggested Outline for Examination Research Proposal	11			
	B. Q	ualifying Examination Format	12			
		esults of Qualifying Exam				
VI	THE	SIS PREPARATION AND REGISTRATION	12			

VII.	FINAL EXAMINATION AND TERMINATION	15
VIII.	CONCENTRATIONS	16
IX.	M.D./Ph.D. PROGRAM	18
X.	M.S. (Plan "A") PROGRAM IN BIOCHEMISTRY	. 18
XI.	GRADUATE STUDENT EXPECTATIONS	20
XII.	GENERAL POLICY	21
A.	Space	21
	Vacations	
	International Students	
D.	Dismissal Procedure	21
E.	Switching Labs	21
F.	Emergency Changes to Classes and University Operations	22
G.	Change of Address.	22
APPEI	NDIX	23

#### I. Ph.D. in BIOCHEMISTRY – PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

#### A. General Information

The Ph.D. in Biochemistry is administered through the Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics in the School of Medicine and Dentistry by the **Graduate Advisory Committee** (current members: Drs. Mark Dumont, Dmitri Ermolenko, Jeffrey Hayes, Lynne Maquat, Joshua Munger, Mitchell O'Connell, Eric Wagner and Yi-Tao Yu).

#### B. Courses

A total of 96 credit hours are required for the Ph.D. This number reflects credit obtained for course work (a minimum of 24 hours), attendance and participation in topical seminars, and credit hours awarded for satisfactory research work relating to the thesis project. Program course requirements are meant to be sufficiently flexible to accommodate students with diverse backgrounds and career goals. Students should consult with their assigned advisors or the Biochemistry Program Director (Joshua Munger) for curriculum advice. Certain courses or their equivalent constitute a Core Curriculum for the Ph.D. in Biochemistry and are specifically required in the first year: Continuous registration for 16 credits per semester is required. Course descriptions may be found in the Appendix.

#### 1. Required Courses (taken in the first year of study)

Fall Semester		
IND 408	Advanced Biochemistry	4 credits
IND 431	Foundations in Modern Biology I	5
IND 501	Ethics & Professional Integrity	
	in Research	1
BCH 501	Biochemistry Seminar	1
BCH 595	Ph.D. Research (Research Rotation)	5
	Total	16 credits
Spring Semester		
BCH 412	Advanced Topics in Biological	
	Macromolecules	5 credits
IND 432	Foundations in Modern Biology II	5
BCH 502	Biochemistry Seminar	1
BCH 595	Ph.D. Research (Research Rotation)	5
Total		16 credits

#### 2. Courses Required Each Semester

BCH 501 & BCH 502	Biochemistry Seminar	l credit
BCH 595	Ph.D. Research	15 credits (unless an
		elective is chosen)

#### 3. Elective Courses

The program requires a minimum of 4 additional credits. This additional credit requirement can be satisfied in the following ways: 1) A 4-credit course; 2) A 3-credit course plus a 1-credit course; 3) Two 2-credit courses. These courses can be selected by the student based on their specific research interests. A wide variety of courses is available. It should be noted that course offerings change constantly, and the student should consult the online course schedule.

#### **Suggested elective courses**

Other courses from other departments can be substituted, subject to the approval of the advisor and the program director.

#### Fall Semester 2025

BCH 515 (1)	Critical Thinking in Research Science
BCH 517 (1)	Topics in Cellular, Biochemical and Molecular Sciences
BCH 521 (4)	Bioinformatics for Life Scientists
BCH 570 (1)	BCH 570-01 - Multilayered Control of Gene Expression
BIO 422 (4)	Biology of Aging
BIO 426 (4)	Developmental Biology
BST 463 (3)	Introduction to Biostatistics
BST 464 (4)	Applied Linear Regression
CHM 411(4)	Inorganic Chemistry I
CHM 415 (2)	Group Theory
CHM 423(2)	NMR Spectroscopy
GEN 507(4)	Advanced Genetics & Genomics
MBI 473 (3)	Immunology
PHP 403 (4)	Human Cell Physiology
PTH 507 (3)	Cancer Biology

#### **Spring Semester 2026**

BIO 415 (4)	Molecular Biology of Cell Signaling
BIO 453 (4)	Computational Biology
BPH 411 (2)	Methods in Structural Biology
BPH 509 (2)	Molecular Biophysics
CHM 440 (4)	Bio Organic Chemistry
IND 419 (3)	Introduction to Quantitative Biology
IND 443 (4)	Eukaryotic Gene Regulations
IND 447 (4)	Signal Transduction
MBI 456 (4)	General Virology
MBI 421(3)	Microbial Genetics & Physiology
PHP 404 (4)	Principles of Pharmacology

#### 4. Exemptions from Course Work Requirements

All entering students concerned with exemptions from core courses may appeal to a Biochemistry Program Director to determine whether an exemption is appropriate. The student will also be asked to meet with the Course Director to determine whether the exemption is warranted. Exemptions must be approved by the Dean for Graduate Studies.

#### 5. Policy Regarding Grades

If a student in the program receives one grade of "C" or below, they will be reviewed by the Graduate Advisory Committee and a recommendation made to the dean that may include termination from the program. If the student is allowed to remain in the program, the course or an appropriate substitute course (approved by a Biochemistry Program Director), must be retaken successfully with a final grade of B- or higher.

#### 6. Policy Regarding Plagiarism

**Plagiarism** is an extremely serious ethical and moral offense. Any suspected instances will be reviewed by the Graduate Advisory Committee, the Department Chair, the Senior Associate Dean for Graduate Studies and appropriate University officials. This review can lead to suspension or expulsion from the University. According to University policy, academic transcripts issued during periods of suspension or expulsion will be accompanied by a letter from the registrar indicating that the student is currently suspended or expelled from the University for disciplinary reasons. Ignorance of the policy regarding plagiarism will not be considered as an excuse for violations.

#### From the Medical Student Handbook

Students are sometimes uncertain about what constitutes misuse of another person's expressed ideas. This statement is designed to explain the limits normally used to define plagiarism.

- 1. Plagiarism is literary theft, intentional or unintentional. It is the use of a unique idea or phrase which does not originate with the user, without proper acknowledgment of the source.
- 2. In written papers, due credit to the original source of major or unique ideas (i.e., ideas which you could not and did not arrive at by yourself) must be given in the form of footnotes or clear allusions at the proper places in the paper itself. These precise indications of source must be given whether the material is paraphrased or quoted directly. An appended bibliography [only] is insufficient acknowledgment.
- 3. Quotation marks must enclose all direct quotations even though the quoted material is no more than occasional phrases interspersed with original observations.

#### 7. Policy on Artificial Intelligence

Generative AI (GenAI), which can generate new content in response to prompts entered by the user, can only be utilized in assignments with approval from the course director. The utilization of GenAI content must occur with full transparency and attribution. Further, it is the student's responsibility to ensure that GenAI content is critically evaluated and that primary sources are properly cited. Unauthorized or unacknowledged use may be considered an offence under the Academic Honesty Policy.

Please see the University's Responsible Use of Generative Artificial Intelligence in Research.

#### C. Seminar Requirement

All students will register for the **Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics Student Seminar Series**: BCH 501 (Fall) and BCH 502 (Spring), each semester in residence. Credit will be awarded for first year students' attendance at a minimum of 75% of the seminars in each semester. In subsequent years, students must attend a minimum of 75% of the seminars in each semester and present in the series each year. If a student fails to attend 75% of the student seminars in a given semester, they will need to write a 750-word paper for every seminar below attendance.

**PLEASE NOTE:** The Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics sponsors a seminar series that typically features leading scientists from other institutions. While not considered a formal course for which credit is granted, these seminars constitute an important part of the graduate experience. Every effort should be made to attend the **Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics Seminar Series**, currently scheduled every Wednesday at 2:00 pm during the fall and spring semesters. Students are encouraged to attend seminars offered by other departments that may be of interest.

#### D. Additional Requirements – First Year

#### 1. Laboratory Rotations

All first-year students are required to complete three laboratory rotations during their first year. To assist in selecting rotations, faculty members will give short (20–30 minute) informal presentations at the beginning of the academic year to describe their research. These presentations help students identify suitable labs for rotations and potential future Ph.D. research.

Students should consult faculty web pages, publications, and speak with current students and PIs to make informed rotation choices.

- Rotation Request Form: Students must complete a rotation request form, listing their top three preferred faculty for laboratory rotations. Before submitting the form to the Graduate Studies Coordinator, students should review their choices with their advisor and obtain approval. Rotation request forms will be emailed to first-year students and are available for download from the <a href="BMB Curriculum Website">BMB Curriculum Website</a>
- **Rotation Requirement**: Complete three projects in three different labs across multiple areas of interest before requesting a permanent lab assignment. In some cases, students may be asked to complete an additional rotation.

• **Summer Rotations**: If a student completes a summer rotation, they are still required to complete three more rotations during the academic year.

#### 2. Rotation Evaluations and Reports

After each rotation, students must submit rotation report to the BMB Program Director and Graduate Studies Coordinator. The report helps develop both scientific thinking and writing skills. Reports should follow this format:

- Length: 6–8 pages, double-spaced, using Arial Font 11.
- Sections: Introduction (~2 pages), Materials and Methods (~2 pages), Results (~2 pages), and Discussion (~2 pages).
- Appendix: Include figures, tables, and references in an appendix (not included in the page limit).
- Abstract: A cover page with an abstract of no more than 200 words.

Faculty mentors will review and provide feedback on these reports.

After submitting the rotation report, both the faculty mentor and the student must complete and submit rotation evaluations to the Graduate Studies Coordinator, who will forward them to the Senior Associate Dean for Graduate Education and Postdoctoral Affairs. These evaluations should be submitted within five days after the end of each rotation. Visit the SMD Handbook regarding finding your lab, research advisors and mentors to download evaluation forms.

#### 3. Rotation Schedule 2025–26

#### **First Rotation**

- Faculty Research Presentations: Sept 3 Sept 15
- Meet with 1st-Year Advisors for Approval: By Sept 22
- Submit <u>Rotation Request Form</u>: By Sept 22
- Receive Rotation Assignment: By Sept 26
- Begin Rotation: Oct 1 (Confirm with PI)
- End of Rotation: Dec 15
- Reports, Evaluations (faculty & student) due 1 week after first rotation ends

#### **Second Rotation**

- Meet with Advisor to Discuss Preferences: Nov 28 Dec 12
- Submit <u>Rotation Request Form</u>: By Dec 12
- Receive Assignment: By Dec 21
- Begin Rotation: Jan 1 (Confirm with PI)
- End of Rotation: Mar 15
- Reports, Evaluations (faculty & student) due 1 week after second rotation ends

#### **Third Rotation**

- Meet with Advisor to Discuss Preferences: Feb 27 Mar 6
- Submit <u>Rotation Request Form</u>: By Mar 6
- Receive Assignment: By Mar 11
- Begin Rotation: Mar 16 (Confirm with PI)
- End of Rotation: May 31
- Reports, Evaluations (faculty & student) due 1 week days after third rotation ends

•

#### **Permanent Lab Selection**

- Choose Advisor: Mid-May
- Begin Work in Permanent Lab: June 1 (or upon approval)

*Note*: Students are expected to be in residence during these periods, including university breaks. Follow the School of Medicine Graduate School calendar.

#### 4. Choosing a Research Advisor

At the end of the first year, students will finalize their choice of a research advisor. Once approved, the assignment will be reviewed by the Program Director and Department Chair, and the student will be notified.

- No agreements with any advisor should be made before the final rotation period ends in May.
- If the advisor is affiliated with the Biochemistry and Biophysics Department or is a member of the BMB Program, the student is automatically approved for the Biochemistry Ph.D. Program.
- If the advisor is not affiliated with the department or program, the student must apply to the relevant Ph.D. program.
- If a student has not secured an advisor by the beginning of their second year (Sept 1), they may be asked to leave the program.

#### 5. First-Year Assessment

At the conclusion of the first year, the Biochemistry Advisory Committee will evaluate student performance based on:

- Coursework
- Rotation performance
- Required assignments
- Seminar/meeting attendance

Unsatisfactory performance may result in dismissal from the program following a review by the committee.

#### E. Additional Requirements - Second Year

- 1. **Teaching Assistantship** Each student will be required to act as a teaching assistant for one semester. Usually, this will be during the second year of studies. However, for those students for whom English is a second language, the teaching assistantship can be delayed until the third or fourth year. Students are welcome to request specific teaching assignments, and every effort will be made to accommodate such requests. Assignments will be made by the Biochemistry Program Director. All TAs will be given a written evaluation by the course director. This evaluation will be included in the student's file.
- 2. Choose **Thesis Advisory Committee** by September 30 of the second year (see details on page 9).
- 3. Present first **Student Seminar** (Spring semester), followed by a thesis committee meeting and complete Research Review form.
- 4. Continue **Ph.D. research** (595 PhD Research) A syllabus for PhD Research, including information on objectives, assessment and policies is included in the Appendix.

5. Prepare Qualifying Examination proposal. The qualifying exam should be completed by October 1 of the third year of graduate study. A written Qualifying Examination proposal must be submitted at least 10 business days before the Qualifying Examination (copies for each member of the student's Advisory Committee and a copy for the department file).

#### F. Additional Requirements - Third and Succeeding Years

- 1. Ph.D. research and thesis preparation.
- 2. Yearly student seminar.
- 3. Yearly committee meeting and research review.

#### **G.** Final Examination (see VII)

#### II. STUDENT RESEARCH SEMINARS

Experience in organizing research data, interpretation of data, synthesis of information from diverse sources, and presentation to an audience of scientific colleagues represents valuable preparation for a career in science, whether in an academic or industrial setting. Therefore, students will be required to present a yearly seminar in the student series beginning in their second year of studies. Thesis committee members should be advised of the scheduled student seminar as soon as the schedule is published (August). The yearly committee meeting should be scheduled at the time of the seminar or within two weeks following the seminar. Prior to this meeting, the student should provide the committee with a brief, written summary of progress, including aims, results, and immediate and longer-term plans.

All students will register for this seminar series each semester: BCH 501 (Fall) and BCH 502 (Spring). Credit will be awarded for presentation of a seminar in the series (once a year, beginning in the second year) and for attendance at 75% of the seminars in each semester (every year). If a student fails to attend 75% of the student seminars in a given semester, they will need to write a 750-word paper for every seminar below attendance

#### III. YEARLY PROGRESS REPORT AND RESEARCH REVIEW

A yearly progress report (Research Review form) must be submitted to the Senior Associate Dean for Graduate Studies by May 31 of each academic year. Students should plan to meet with their thesis advisory committee and file a Graduate Student Research Review form (see appendix) in the Education office during each academic year. In the first year of studies, the laboratory rotation evaluations will be used to fulfill this requirement (see D.1.).

The required yearly Research Review form must be completed by the student, and submitted to the committee members, at least two days before the annual student committee meeting (pages 1-3). The form will be sent to the student in an electronic format that will allow

it to be typed and saved. The last (Section J, page 4, Committee Report) page of the form will be completed at the meeting. The entire completed form will then be approved by the committee members and the student and forwarded by the advisor to all of the committee members, the student and the Graduate Studies Coordinator (Marianne Arcoraci). The Graduate Studies Coordinator will then forward it to Graduate Education and the Program Director.

This annual meeting with the thesis advisory committee should normally be scheduled on the same day as the student's seminar. It is the students' responsibility to schedule committee meetings. Note that the student seminar schedule is published in August for the entire academic year and committee meetings should be scheduled at that time.

At the end of the annual thesis committee advisory meeting, the thesis advisor, and any non-voting committee members including, e.g., family members of the advisor, will leave the room to give the student an opportunity to meet privately with the remaining members of the thesis committee. This will give the student the opportunity to obtain mentoring from their committee in the absence of the advisor. The thesis committee members will be responsible for following up on any concerns raised by the student during this time. The graduate program director and the Chair of Biochemistry & Biophysics will help to resolve concerns, and if needed, the University Intercessor will be called in to help.

#### A. Guidelines for Annual Student Committee Meeting and Research Review

- 1. The Annual Research Review form should be sent to student's committee members at least two days before annual student committee meeting (in electronic form). Visit the SMD Forms and Tools Website / Academic Support Section to download Annual Evaluation Form. [https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/education/graduate/current-students/forms.aspx RegistrarForms]
- 2. The student committee meeting should be arranged in advance by the student (complete with a reserved room) and should ideally take place within two weeks after the date of the student seminar. Committee meetings often require up to 2 hours.
- 3. At the committee meeting, the student should be prepared to:
  - a. summarize the thesis aims and the progress toward those aims
  - b. discuss and expand on important points of the seminar, as needed
  - c. discuss results on other aspects of your thesis work
  - d. discuss, as necessary, the impact of research in other labs on the ongoing work
  - e. present and discuss experiments planned in the next year in the context of the overall thesis plan

- 4. Guidelines for PhD Advisory Committee Meetings and a Syllabus for 595 PhD Research can be found in the appendix.
- 5. Committee meetings will be limited to faculty attendance only.

#### IV. THESIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Following selection of the research advisor, the student's thesis advisory committee is selected by September 30 of the second year. The thesis advisory committee performs several functions. It may help the student choose specific elective courses in preparation for the chosen field of research. It provides advisory input during the development of the thesis research project with respect to scientific merit, techniques and methodology, relevant literature, etc. It gives final approval of the specific program presented for the thesis topic to be developed and participates in the Qualifying Examination. Finally, it, along with a representative appointed by the Dean's Office, is the examining committee for the thesis defense. Committee members may also provide more complete guidance in the selection of final courses in preparation for research and assist the thesis advisor. By September 30 of the second year, the student and the research advisor must submit a list of suggested committee members to the Graduate Studies Coordinator. The proposed thesis committee must be approved by the Program Director.

The thesis advisory committee must consist of the research advisor, one faculty member in the Biochemistry & Molecular Biology (BMB) program, one faculty member who belongs to the BMB program as well as the Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics (one of these faculty members may include the advisor), one faculty member from outside the BMB program AND Department and one additional faculty member who can be inside or outside. Joint appointees who have primary appointments in another department are considered outside members. An advisor who is not a primary member of the Department may not count as the outside member (they must be considered the 4th member of the committee). At least one member of the advisory committee should have trained a graduate student through completion of the Ph.D. Additional committee members may be included from either within or outside the University if it is considered useful or necessary. Thus, the minimum size of the committee will be four members, but five (or more) is quite possible. In the case of joint co-advisors, a minimum of five members may be required. For the purposes of the final dissertation defense, as per graduate regulations, only five committee members may be assigned voting rights. Changes to the thesis committee must be pre-approved by the Program Director. To prevent potential conflicts of interests, spouses or immediate family of research advisors who are on the thesis advisory committee are non-voting members of the committee. In this case the thesis advisory committee should have an additional member.

#### V. **QUALIFYING EXAMINATION**

The purpose of the Qualifying Examination is to determine whether the student is qualified and competent to continue work toward a Ph.D. in Biochemistry. It is primarily a means of determining the potential of the student for independent thought, experimental acumen, comprehension of the general field, and potential for exploiting a relevant problem in a scientifically sound manner. Research productivity and potential is also a factor in passing the examination.

The examination will be administered by the student's thesis advisory committee, excluding the advisor and including one or more faculty assigned by the Graduate Advisory Committee or Program Director. The advisor may be present during the examination but will not be a voting member of the committee and will not participate in the exam unless consulted by the committee. Non-voting members of the committee may participate in the exam, but do not vote on the exam's outcome. A report written by the Graduate Advisory Committee representative will be submitted to the student and included in the file.

The examining procedure involves preparation by the student of a written Ph.D. thesis research proposal. Because a career in science will undoubtedly involve submission and defense of research projects (whether in an academic or industrial setting) we recommend using a modified NIH proposal outline as described below. The qualifying exam should be completed by October 15 of the third year of graduate study.

Students must have completed a minimum of 24 hours of course work credit, as outlined above, at the time of the Qualifying Exam. The Plan B Master's Degree will be awarded upon successful completion of this examination. If the examination is not passed, the exam committee may allow the student to take a second exam. The second exam must be taken after 5 months but no later than 6 months following the first exam.

#### **Procedure:**

- 1. Schedule Qualifying Examination with committee members and the faculty member appointed to the exam committee a minimum of 6 weeks prior to the exam.
- 2. At least 6 weeks prior to the exam, inform the program Coordinator of date/time of the exam, confirm committee members and schedule a room. At least 25 business days prior to the exam, submit title and abstract (30 lines of text maximum) online currently. The Graduate Studies Coordinator will complete paperwork and submit to the Registrar.
- 3. Submit a copy of the proposal a minimum of 10 business days before the exam to each committee member and the Graduate Studies Coordinator.
- 4. The annual Research Review form may be completed at the time of the Qualifying Exam. The form is available in the department office. Please return to Graduate Studies Coordinator.

#### A. Suggested Outline for Qualifying Examination Research Proposal

The proposal should not exceed 14 double spaced pages (type font set at Arial 11 with margins set to 0.5 inches on all sides). Page lengths are based on standard double-spaced pages. Include page numbers for sections 1-4 indicated (see below). A title and abstract page is required at the time of registration and does not count towards the page limit. A face page should be included with the title of your proposal, your name, the date of the oral exam, exam location (or Zoom link), and your Ph.D. advisor's name. The abstract should not be longer than 30 lines of text. The format and length of the proposal is like a pre-doctoral fellowship application, which you will be strongly encouraged to apply for.

The proposal should be the student's own work and should be in the student's own words; however, the student may consult with their advisor and colleagues for advice. Refreshments should not be provided by the student for the examination.

- 1. **Specific Aims:** State concisely and realistically what the research described in the proposal is intended to accomplish and/or what hypothesis is to be tested. Do not exceed two pages.
- 2. **Significance:** Briefly sketch the background to the proposal and critically evaluate existing knowledge. State concisely the importance of the research described in the proposal by relating the specific aims to longer term objectives. This section should be approximately 2-3 pages long.
- 3. **Preliminary Studies:** This section should summarize the work that has been done by the student and others to indicate that the proposal is realistic and significant in scope. Graphs, diagrams, tables, and charts relevant to this section can be included as "Appendix" material. Make sure to properly cite figures with legends, text or appendix material. This section should be approximately 2-3 pages long.
- 4. **Proposed Experiments:** Discuss the experimental design and the procedures to be used to accomplish the specific aims of the work described in the proposal. Describe the protocols to be used and a tentative timetable for the investigation. Include how the data will be analyzed and interpreted. Describe new methodology and its advantage over existing methodology. Discuss the potential difficulties and limitations of the proposed procedures and alternative approaches to achieve the aims. Include information about species of animals to be used. There is no page limitation for this section but make every attempt to be concise. This section should be approximately 6-8 pages long.

- 5. **References:** Use a standard journal format (with titles, and a full list of authors, up to 10 authors). Note: The Reference section is not included in the page limit.
- 6. **Appendix:** Graphs, diagrams, tables, and charts, all with proper citations and legends, supporting the proposal should be included in this section. Note: The Appendix is not counted in the 14-page limit.

#### **B.** Qualifying Examination Format

The student is expected to present an overview of the thesis research proposal for the first 15-20 minutes using blackboard, slides or overhead projector. The committee will then examine the student orally. A typical examination will take between two and three hours. The candidate is judged on the written and oral presentation; a grasp of the fundamental issues; the ability to apply the background from formal course work to problems related to the proposal; and a demonstration of critical assessment of results. It is important to recognize that while the written proposal serves as a focus for the oral examination, questions about distantly related areas will be raised. The Qualifying Examination will be evaluated using the official program rubric, which is provided in the Appendix of this handbook.

#### C. Results of Qualifying Exam

The Chair of the examining committee or the committee will discuss with the student the strengths and weaknesses of the qualifying exam performance and will inform the student of whether they have passed the examination. The Chair will also report whether the students has passed or failed to the Senior Associate Sean for Graduate Studies of the Medical School and to the Graduate Studies Coordinator, who will inform the Director of Graduate Studies.

If the student passes pending modifications to the thesis proposal, they will be given 14 calendar days from the time the student receives the committee's feedback to make the necessary revisions.

If the student fails the examination, the student's performance will be reviewed for the BMB faculty, and a recommendation will be made to the Senior Associate Dean of Graduate Studies. The recommendation may be that the student must retake the qualifying examination or that they must leave the program.

#### VI. THESIS PREPARATION AND REGISTRATION

The student's thesis advisory committee must approve writing of the Ph.D. thesis at a formal committee meeting 4-6 months prior to the defense, at which time a Thesis

Approval Form (see appendix) will be signed by all committee members to indicate their approval to begin writing the thesis.

The Chairperson Nomination for a PhD Defense form must be completed by the Principal Investigator and the student four months prior to the exam. The Principal Investigator must contact chair choices prior to submitting the form to the Graduate Studies Coordinator to assure the potential chair is willing and able to serve as chair. The Chairperson Nomination Form (form in appendix).

The SMD Training Handbook Thesis Defense Page is a comprehensive guide, written specifically for SMD graduate students. The guide covers everything from before the defense, to the defense itself, to after the defense, providing information about the process, outlining requirements and offering useful tips and is available online at: <a href="https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/education/graduate/trainee-handbook/academic-resources/thesis-defense.aspx">https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/education/graduate/trainee-handbook/academic-resources/thesis-defense.aspx</a>

In addition, the "Guidelines for the Content of a Basic Science Ph.D. Thesis" is a supplemental guideline written by Dirk Bohmann and Eric Phizicky is available online at: <a href="https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/medialibraries/urmcmedia/education/graduate/trainee-handbook/academic-resources/documents/smd-bs-thesis-guide-supplement.pdf">https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/medialibraries/urmcmedia/education/graduate/trainee-handbook/academic-resources/documents/smd-bs-thesis-guide-supplement.pdf</a>s

It is the responsibility of the student to see that style, format, margins, paper, binding, etc. are in accordance with University regulations. The student should be aware that the Dean of Graduate Studies has a deadline each year by which time a thesis must be registered to allow graduation at the next Commencement. It will usually take at least three months to prepare the thesis after all experimental work is complete and the most common mistake is not allowing adequate time for preparation of illustrations, typing, review by the advisor and thesis advisory committee and for registration in the Graduate Dean's Office.

Registration with the office of the Dean of Graduate Studies must take place at least 10 business days before the final exam.<sup>1</sup> In preparation for registration, the student should begin the process by meeting with the Graduate Studies Coordinator when first discussing a defense date with the Ph.D. advisor and thesis advisory committee. The approval/paperwork process starts at least 6 months before registration with the following steps:

1. 4-6 months prior to the thesis defense, the student must obtain written approval from their committee members to start working on their thesis. The thesis defense approval form must be signed by all members of the committee at a committee meeting 4-6 months prior to a planned defense date and a research review form must be completed for this meeting. The thesis approval form and research review form can be obtained from the Graduate Studies Coordinator.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Registration deadlines vary. Please check in the Department Office for a schedule of dates for the academic year. Final exams may not be scheduled during specific blackout periods

- 2. At least 4 to 6 months before scheduling the defense, students must submit their Committee Chairperson Nomination Form (see appendix) to the Graduate Studies Coordinator.
  - a. **Note Regarding Chair Selection:** Chairs must have no affiliation with the student's program, department, or project collaboration. While the primary role of the chairperson is to ensure that the thesis defense is conducted fairly and in accordance with university guidelines, it is recommended, but not required, that the selected chair possess a foundational understanding of the dissertation topic. Faculty members often find it challenging to effectively follow and engage in the defense process without a basic familiarity with the subject matter. Please ensure that all suggestions for the chairperson align with the University of Rochester's Regulations & Policies Concerning Graduate Studies, (page 11.)
- 3. Once a chair has been selected and approved, the student can move forward with selecting the date and time for their defense. Once a date/time is selected, they should immediately contact the Graduate Studies Coordinator so that room reservations can be secured, and a detailed email will be sent to the student to convey pertinent information. This must be at least 2 months prior to the defense to allow sufficient time to meet all deadlines as well as time to write and prepare for their defense. <sup>2</sup>
- 4. At least 2 months prior to the defense date the student should poll the thesis committee and defense chair to determine their preference for thesis format (hard copy or pdf).
- 5. At least 30 full business days prior to the defense, the student will need to provide the Graduate Studies Coordinator with the necessary information needed to create the SharePoint record.
- 6. At least 25 full business days prior to the defense, the student must provide the thesis to their thesis committee and defense chair to review in their preferred format. The version given at this time MUST be the same version given to the entire thesis committee and defense chair; no revisions can be made until after the thesis defense. The student will also need to meet with the Graduate Studies Coordinator to approve the SharePoint information and provide them with the required documentation.
- 7. At least 20 business days prior to the defense, the Graduate Studies Coordinator approves the SharePoint record, and the SharePoint approval emails are sent to the thesis committee.
- 8. At least 15 full business days prior to the defense the thesis committee and Program Director must approve of the thesis submitted for defense via the SharePoint site (link provided in email sent from UnivGradStudies@UR.Rochester.edu).
- 9. At least 10 full business days must elapse between the registration date and the actual date of defense.

14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the examination takes place during Fall or Spring semester, avoid scheduling the examination on a Tuesday, Wednesday or Friday afternoon.

10. The school allows 60 calendar days after the defense date for submission of the final copy of the thesis via ProQuest. However, defenses schedules later in the semester will be subject to a deadline date that may be shorter than 60 days. Please consult the academic calendar for these deadline dates.

Please note that a "Summary or Conclusion" section must be included in the thesis. The information is in the "Preparation of a Thesis" manual.

#### VII. FINAL EXAMINATION AND TERMINATION

The format of the Final Examination for the Ph.D. is as follows. The first hour of the exam is a formal seminar open to the public. The student's presentation should last 50 minutes, and 10 minutes are allowed at the conclusion for questions from the audience. Notes, slides, charts, and the usual visual aids for a seminar are permitted. Students must bring a copy of the thesis to the examination. The student and the Examining Committee will then adjourn to a private session where the second part of the exam will be conducted. Using oral interrogation, the committee will scrutinize the student's comprehension, execution, description and interpretation of the research described in the thesis. The student is encouraged to bring a copy of their thesis to the defense for their own reference.

After successful completion of the Final Examination and after making any required corrections in the thesis, the student must electronically submit a corrected copy of the thesis via SharePoint. The student is also expected to complete the UR Research Authorization form, provide the Graduate Studies Coordinator with an updated post defense Curriculum Vitae (C.V.), one tape bound copy of the final thesis needs to be submitted to the Department office and an electronic version of the Department Termination Form must be emailed to the Graduate Studies Coordinator. Students are required to turn in their lab key(s) and student ID on their termination date to the Department office.

The termination date will determine when the stipend payment ceases. The student should discuss this with their advisor and share this information with the Graduate Studies Coordinator.

#### VIII. CONCENTRATIONS

Students in the PhD program in Biochemistry can elect for the **Cancer Biology** or **Bioinformatics** concentration. These concentrations reflect areas of strong interest for our faculty and students, and areas where enhanced skills and expertise will serve our students in their future career paths.

The **Cancer Biology** concentration will add coursework in clinical and translational cancer biology to our existing course on the molecular and cell biology of cancer. Students will also participate in an ongoing seminar series for exposure to the most cutting-edge scientific advances.

The **Bioinformatics** concentration will focus on biology and medically related informatics work most appropriate to trainees in various aspects of Biochemistry. As with the Cancer Biology concentration, the concentration in Bioinformatics will require students to take to course covering the analysis of biomedical "big data" as well as a seminar series focused on recent advances in the field. One of these courses will involve computational approaches to large data sets, whereas the second will involve statistical analysis. As indicated in the curriculum, there will be some leeway in the courses allowed to enable students to tailor the course selection to the types of statistical problems and computational approaches most applicable to their research projects.

Students interested in concentrations should meet with the Graduate Studies Coordinator to assure that the appropriate courses are being taken.

#### **CONCENTRATION CURRICULUM**

Existing Concentration: Bioche & Molecular Biology	Proposed Concentration in Cancer Biology		Proposed Concentration in Bioinformatics		
First Year	Credits		Credits		Credits
BCH412 Advanced Topics in Biological Macromolecules	5				
BCH501 Biochemistry Fall Seminar	1				
BCH502 Biochemistry Spring Seminar	1				
IND408 Advanced Biochemistry	4	Same for Biochem		Same for Biochemistry &	
IND431 Foundations in Modern Biology I, Modules 1- 5	5	Molecular Biology		Molecular Biology	
IND432 Foundations in Modern Biology II, Modules 1-5	5				
IND501 Ethics in Research	1	1			
Second Year					
Choice of 1-2 electives made in consultation with thesis mentor and program director	4	PTH507 Cancer Biology	3	Choose 1 of the following: BCH521 Bioinformatics for Life Scientists, IND419, Introduction to Quantitative Biology, or BIO457 Applied genomics	3-4 credits depending on class
BCH501 Biochemistry Fall Seminar	1	IND517 Clinical and Translational Oncology	2	Choose 1 of the following: DSC462 Computational Introduction to statistics, BST457 Applied Statistics in the Biomedical Sciences, BST 432 High Dimensional Data Analysis, BST 434 Genomic Data Analysis,	3-4 credits depending on class
BCH502 Biochemistry Spring Seminar	1	IND507 Cancer Biology Seminar	4	IND484 Current Topics in Bioinformatics	4
BCH595 PhD Research	68+		68+		68+
Total:		96 credit	hours or r	nore in total	

#### IX. M.D./Ph.D. PROGRAM IN BIOCHEMISTRY

M.D./Ph.D. program students usually enter the Ph.D. portion of their combined degree work after the basic science years of the M.D. curriculum. During the second year of the M.D. program students should discuss the Ph.D. Program with prospective faculty advisors and the Biochemistry Program Director. It is optimal for the student to complete two research rotations before choosing a permanent advisor.

#### M.D./Ph. D CURRICULUM

1) All of the following courses are required:

BCH 412 (5)	Advanced Topics	
	in Biological Macromolecules	Spring
IND 408 (4)	Advanced Biochemistry	Fall
IND 501 (1)	Ethics in Research	Fall
BCH 501, 502 (1)	Biochemistry Seminar*	(each semester)
BCH 595	Ph.D. Research	(each semester)

<sup>\*</sup>Includes yearly presentation

2) Additional requirement

An (advisor and program director) approved alternative elective

**NOTE:** M.D. Ph.D. students are granted 30 credits toward the 90-credit hour requirement for the Ph.D. on the basis of their basic sciences curriculum.

#### OTHER M.D./Ph.D. REQUIREMENTS

No Teaching Assistantship is required.

The Qualifying Examination is required at the end of the second year of Ph.D. studies, to be completed by October 1 of the third year of graduate study.

Thesis preparation and defense.

#### X. M.S. (Plan "A") PROGRAM IN BIOCHEMISTRY

A "Plan A" (terminal) M.S. degree is offered by the Biochemistry Program, subject to approval by the Graduate Studies Director.

No financial resources are provided by the Biochemistry M.S. Program for either tuition or stipend costs, so that these obligations must be borne by the candidate, alone or in conjunction with funds provided at the discretion of the advisor from the sponsoring advisor's budget. Any monetary compensation to M.S. candidates from the sponsoring advisor will be limited to the current stipend for Ph.D. candidates.

Admission to the M.S. program will not be approved unless a letter from the faculty research sponsor is included with the University application forms. This letter must indicate the nature of the research project or area agreed upon and should state that the faculty member is intending to provide the required advisory input as well as laboratory space, supplies, and equipment necessary to pursue the project.

At least one year (two semesters) of full-time enrollment or 2 years (four semesters) of part-time enrollment is required (the equivalent of two years of full-time study is usual).<sup>3</sup> In the first year, course work requirements are fulfilled (30 hours) with initiation of the research project. The second year is spent in research activity leading to submission of the M.S. Thesis.

#### Five courses are specifically required:

IND 408 (4 credits)	Advanced Biochemistry
IND 431 (5)	Foundations in Modern Biology I
IND 432 (5)	Foundations in Modern Biology II
IND 501 (1)	Ethics in Research
BCH 412 (5)	Advanced Topics in Biological Macromolecules

The program requires a minimum of 1 additional specific or elective course, totaling 3 credits or more. M.S. candidates are expected to attend the Biochemistry Student Seminars (BCH 501-502). The remaining credits required to meet the 30 credit hours needed for the M.S. degree will consist of credits from student seminar and research credit. Up to 10 hours of course work may be taken prior to formal admission (matriculation) into the program.

In the "Plan A" M.S. program, a research thesis must be developed from an independent research project accomplished under the supervision of a faculty member in the Department of Biochemistry. Format and preparation should follow guidelines set forth in the "The Preparation of Doctoral Theses" booklet available in the Department Office.

A Thesis Advisory committee is required for M.S. candidates as described in Section V. The Committee serves a similar purpose to that described for Ph.D. candidates.

The Final Examination is administered by the Thesis Advisory Committee following presentation of the completed thesis. For M.S. candidates, the chairman of the Examining Committee is appointed by the Graduate Studies Director.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Please note that although the Graduate Studies Official Bulletin stipulates one year of full-time enrollment, special requests for part-time study will be considered.

#### XI. GRADUATE STUDENT EXPECTATIONS

PhD students are responsible for working toward completion of their degree programs in a timely manner and are responsible for the following aspects of their programmatic training.

- **Academics:** To learn the existing theories, practices, and research methods of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology and to apply these in your research and teaching.
- **Thesis:** To discover and pursue a unique topic of research in order to construct new knowledge and to substantially contribute to your field of study.
- **Communication:** To communicate regularly with faculty advisor and thesis advisory committee members, providing them with updates on your progress within the program and on results of research activities. You should always adhere to the highest professional standards in communication with colleagues and co-workers.
- Integrity and ethics: To assume the highest integrity and maintain ethical standards in all aspects of your work, especially in the tasks of collecting, analyzing, and presenting research data. Special care should be taken to follow guidelines established by the University's independent review boards for research, such as the Institutional Biosafety Committee, (IBC) and the University Committee on Animal Resources (UCAR)
- Laboratory notebooks: To maintain detailed, organized, and accurate laboratory notebooks and records, including electronic records. Please note that when a student leaves a lab, the notebook, electronic records, and all research data remain the property of the University and are a valuable resource for the laboratory, and so must be organized and accessible.
- **Teaching:** To diligently participate in teaching as required for the degree, and as an opportunity to enhance your effectiveness as an instructor and to further the educational goals of the students being taught.
- Scientific Community: To contribute, wherever possible, to the scholarly discourse of the discipline through presentations, publications, and professional engagement and service. The student should attend and participate in appropriate meetings, colloquia, seminars, and group discussions that are part of the educational program, and the student should submit all relevant research results that are ready for publication in a timely manner.
- Work environment: To help maintain a clean and safe work environment, including but not limited to office space, laboratory spaces, and common spaces.
- **Mentors:** To actively seek out a range of faculty, professional, and peer mentors who can help prepare you for professional and career roles and responsibilities, and to serve as a mentor to others when appropriate.
- Collegiality: To promote collegiality and a welcoming environment in the classroom and laboratories and in all aspects of your academic program, ensuring that all students, faculty, and staff are treated with respect.
- **Familiarity with policies:** To take responsibility for keeping informed of, and complying with, regulations and policies, including those stated in the BMB Program Handbook, and to complete all required paperwork and other degree obligations in a timely fashion.
- **Effort:** To devote full time and effort toward completing degree requirements. The New York State Education Department (NYSED) requires 3 hours of research/instruction/

assignments per credit hour per week, equating to 45 hours per week for a typical 15 credit hour load.

#### X. GENERAL POLICY

A. <u>Space</u>: The Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics provides office space for students with computer access. Once a research advisor has been chosen, the student will usually be given a desk in the advisor's laboratory

#### B. Vacations / Holidays

Graduate students are supported by fellowships or research grants from various internal and external sources, each with specific regulations regarding vacations. Generally, fellows and trainees are expected to engage in full-time study and are entitled to the following official University Holidays:

- New Year's Day
- Memorial Day
- July 4th
- Labor Day
- Thanksgiving Day and the Friday after
- Christmas Day

The School of Medicine also allows for a **2-week vacation period** per year, in addition to these holidays. **Semester breaks are not considered holidays**, and any absences during these periods require prior approval.

All absences, including vacations, must be communicated to your advisor and approved by both the advisor and the Graduate Studies Coordinator (Marianne Arcoraci) at least one month in advance.

- C. <u>International students</u> must adhere to the policies of the International Student's Office. **Stipends will not be paid** for unauthorized absences.
- D. <u>Dismissal Procedure</u>: In the event of chronic poor performance, behavior, and/or attendance, a student may be subject to dismissal from the laboratory by their advisor, subject to the approval of the Program Directors and the Chair of the Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics. The Advisory Committee, in consultation with the student's committee and Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics Chair, will then determine if the student will be allowed to remain in the program. In that case, the student may rotate in one or more labs for up to 3 months to find a permanent advisor.
- E. <u>Switching Labs</u>: On rare occasions, students may wish to discontinue their research with a chosen permanent advisor and begin thesis research in a different laboratory. Such a change should be considered only as a last resort, as it often results in a significant extension of time needed to complete the Ph.D. However, if a student finds the situation untenable and wishes to switch labs, the following steps must be taken:

- The change must be approved by the **Graduate Advisory Committee**, the **Department Chair**, and the **Senior Associate Dean**.
- Once approved, the student may rotate in one or more labs for up to **three months** to identify a new permanent advisor.
- If a new advisor is not identified by the end of this period, the case will be reviewed by the **Graduate Advisory Committee**, and the student may be asked to leave the program.
- F. Emergency or Temporary Closings and Other Changes in Class Schedules and University Operations. The University plans to commence and conclude classes on the dates indicated in the academic calendars. But unforeseen circumstances or events may occur that require the University to temporarily close or otherwise adjust its student life, residential housing, class schedules and format, method and location of instruction, educational activities, and operations because of reasons beyond the University's control. For example, such circumstances or events may include but are not limited to inclement weather, the onset of public health crises, being subject to government order(s), significant safety or security concerns, faculty illness, strikes, labor disturbances, sabotage, terrorism, war, riot, civil unrest, fire, flood, earthquake, acts of God, malfunction of University equipment (including computers), cyberattacks, unavailability of particular University facilities occasioned by damage to the premises, repairs or other causes, as well as disruption/unavailability of utilities, labor, energy, materials, transportation, electricity, security, or the internet. If any of these or other unforeseen circumstances or events outside of the University's control occur, the University will respond as necessary and appropriate, and it assumes no liability for any interruption or adjustments made to student life, residential housing, class schedules and format, method and location of instruction, educational activities, and operations caused by these or other unforeseen circumstances or events. And the University shall not be responsible for the refund of any tuition or fees in the event of any such unforeseen circumstances or events, except as may otherwise be expressly provided in the University's Leave of Absence and Withdrawal Policy or its published tuition refund schedule available online at: [https://www.rochester.edu/adminfinance/bursar/payments-and-refunds/payments-andrefunds/
- G. Change of Address: Be sure to report any changes in address as they occur in:
  - UR Student / Workday: <a href="https://tech.rochester.edu/wp-content/uploads/QRC-Howto-Update-an-Address-in-UR-Student-Final.pdf">https://tech.rochester.edu/wp-content/uploads/QRC-Howto-Update-an-Address-in-UR-Student-Final.pdf</a>
  - SMD Office of Graduate Education and Postdoctoral Affairs (GEPA) Address Change Form: <a href="http://www.rochester.edu/its/acs/oge\_address\_form.html">http://www.rochester.edu/its/acs/oge\_address\_form.html</a>
  - Department of Biochemistry & Biophysics: send email with updates to the graduate coordinator Marianne Arcoraci (<u>marianne arcoraci@URMC.rochester.edu</u>)

• International students should contact the International Services Office (ISO) and the Federal Government with address changes. Visit International Services Office Website for more information: <a href="https://www.rochester.edu/iso/">https://www.rochester.edu/iso/</a> /.

#### **APPENDIX**

- 1.) 2025-26 School of Medicine and Dentistry Academic Calendar
- 2.) Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics Faculty
- 3.) BMB Faculty Advisory Committee
- 4.) BMB Electives Course Descriptions
- 5.) Syllabus for 595 PhD Research
- 6.) Guidelines for PhD Advisory Committee Meetings
- 7.) Forms:
  - a.) Research Rotation Evaluation Faculty Form
  - b.) Research Rotation Evaluation Student Form
  - c.) Rotation Request form
  - d) Annual Ph.D. Student Evaluation Report
  - e) First-Year Evaluation Rubric
  - f) Thesis Approval Form
  - g) Request for Chairperson
  - h) Guidelines for the Content of a Basic Science Ph.D. Thesis
  - i) Qualifying Exam Rubric

## University of Rochester

## 2025-2026 Academic Calendar (School of Medicine and Dentistry – Graduate Programs)

Fall 2025				
August 25 (Monday)	Classes Begin			
September 1	Labor Day (No Classes)			
September 5	Last day to add/drop courses in without permission			
	from course director			
September 22	Last day to add/drop courses with permission from			
	the course director. Last day to request courses be			
	changed to an audit status.			
September 23	First day to Withdraw ("W") from a course			
October 13 – 14	University Fall Break (NOT a break for Graduate			
	Students)			
November 10	Last day to Withdraw ("W") from a course			
November 26 – November 30	Thanksgiving Recess			
December 1	Classes Resume			
December 8	Last Day of Class			
December 9 – 11	Reading Days			
December 12 - 17	Final Exams			
December 23	Final Grades Due			
Sprin	g 2026			
January 20 (Tuesday)	Classes Begin			
January 23 (Friday)	Rochester "Monday" – all students attend Monday			
	classes			
January 30	Last day to add/drop courses in without permission			
	from course director			
February 16	Last day to add/drop courses with permission from			
	the course director. Last day to request courses be			
	changed to an audit status.			
February 17	First day to "W" from a course			
April 13	Last day to Withdraw ("W") from a course			
March 7 – 15	University Spring Break ( <u>NOT</u> a break for Graduate			
	Students)			
May 1	Last Day of Class			
May 2 – 4	Reading Days			
May 5 – 10	Final Exams			
May 14 (Thursday)	Final Grades Due			
May 15 - 17	Commencement Weekend			
Summ	er 2026			
May 18 – July 31	Full Summer Session			
May 25 (Monday)	Memorial Day Observed (No Classes)			
June 19 (Friday)	Juneteenth Observed (No Classes)			
July 3 (Friday)	Independence Day Observed (No Classes)			

Created: October 2024

	Faculty	Interests
	Brian Altman, Ph.D. Assistant Professor, Biomedical Genetics	Role of circadian rhythm in gene regulation and cancer cell biology and metabolism
	Douglas Anderson, PhD. Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Aab Cardiovascular Research Institute	LncRNA control of heart development and disease; Discovery and function of micropeptides encoded by putative lncRNAs; Genome-editing by RNA-guided nucleases.
	Xin Bi, Ph.D. Professor, Biology	Chromatin-mediated regulation of gene expression in eukaryotes
9	Paul Boutz, Ph.D. Assistant Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	Regulation of pre-mRNA splicing and polyadenylation in healthy and diseased states; the contribution of RNA processing to cancer biology; effects of small molecule drugs on RNA processing and gene expression.
	Paul Brookes, Ph.D. Professor, Anesthesiology	Cardioprotection against ischemia-reperfusion (IR) injury. Role of Mitochondria and metabolism in ischemic preconditioning and anesthetic preconditioning
	Michael Bulger, Ph.D. Associate Professor, Pediatrics	Chromatin domains and long-range activation by enhancers
	Gloria Culver, Ph.D. Professor, Biology	Assembly of the <i>E. coli</i> 30S ribosomal subunit, which is essential for cellular growth, so as to understand how infections might be controlled through selective inhibition of specific assembly control points
	lan Dickerson, Ph.D. Associate Professor, Neuroscience	Molecular mechanisms of neuropeptide signal transduction
	Mark Dumont, Ph.D. Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	Signal transduction; membrane protein structure, yeast molecular biology

	Faculty	Interests
	Dmitri Ermolenko, Ph.D. Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	Structural dynamics of the ribosome and ribosomal ligands during proteins synthesis, regulation of protein synthesis by mRNA structure in normal and diseased cells, and mechanisms of antibiotic action
	Sina Ghaemmaghami, Ph.D. Professor, Biology	Molecular mechanisms of prion propagation and pathogenesis
	Vera Gorbunova, Ph.D. Professor, Biology	Mechanisms of aging and the role of DNA repair and genomic instability in the aging process
	Elizabeth Grayhack, Ph.D. Associate Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	Role of the genetic code in regulating protein synthesis and mRNA metabolism in Saccharomyces cerevisiae
3	Alan Grossfield, Ph.D. Associate Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	Investigating membranes and membrane proteins via computer simulation
	Isaac Harris, Ph.D. Assistant Professor, Biomedical Genetics	Understanding the roles of antioxidants in cancer
	Jeffrey Hayes, Ph.D. Professor & Chair, Biochemistry & Biophysics	Regulation of transcription, nuclear processes, related to chromatin structure and function
	Clara Kielkopf, Ph.D. Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	Splicing defects in hematologic malignancies; roles of human pre-mRNA splicing factors in HIV-1 infectivity; development of engineered splicing factors for correction of splicing defects; splice sites and their associated proteins as therapeutic targets
insked ZE	Hartmut Land, Ph.D. Professor & Chair, Biomedical Genetics	Molecular mechanisms of carcinogenesis. Signaling and Cancer Cell Metabolism

Faculty	Interests
John Lueck, Ph.D. Associate Professor, Pharmacology & Physiology	Determining the therapeutic promise of engineered tRNAs for treatment of nonsense associated diseases and investigating the pathomechanisms of skeletal muscle weakness and wasting in myotonic dystrophy
Lynne Maquat, Ph.D. Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	RNA metabolism in human cells (nonsense-mediated mRNA decay/mRNA surveillance); influence of pre-mRNA splicing on mRNA translation; Staufen-mediated mRNA decay and Staufen-regulated RNA metabolism; post-transcriptional gene control via lncRNAs and SINEs; miRNA metabolism; Fragile X Mental Retardation Syndrome/Autism; therapeutics of nonsense diseases
David Mathews, M.D., Ph.D. Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	Computational biology of RNA, including structure prediction, molecular dynamics, and genomics
Margot Mayer-Pröschel, Ph.D. Professor, Biomedical Genetics	Identification of different stem and precursor cell pools in CNS that may be critical for cell replacement therapies or are targets of insults that lead to developmental pathologies
Anne Meyer, Ph.D. Associate Professor, Biology	Bacterial stress response; 3D Printing of Bacteria; Biomaterials
Benjamin Miller, Ph.D. Professor, Dermatology	Carbohydrate and protein recognition, molecular design, and biomolecular sensing
Joshua Munger, Ph.D. Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	Mechanisms of metabolic network manipulation induced by viral infection and oncogenic mutation.
Mitchell O'Connell, Ph.D. Associate Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	Biochemical mechanisms of RNA-mediated gene regulation; RNA-targeting CRISPR tool development

	Faculty	Interests
	Eric Phizicky, Ph.D. Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	tRNA biogenesis, function and quality control; intellectual disability due to deficiencies in tRNA modifications
	Makaía Papasergi-Scott, Ph.D.	Molecular mechanisms and spatiotemporal regulation of cell signaling pathways using cryo-EM, biochemical, and pharmacologic studies; RNA/protein therapeutic targets and treatments; instrumentation and methods development in structural biology.
	Marlies Rossmann, M.D., Ph.D. Assistant Professor, Biomedical Genetics	The role of metabolism in lineage differentiation
	Elaine Sia, Ph.D. Professor, Biology & Associate Dean of Academic Affairs	Mutagenesis and repair of the mitochondrial genome.
The second second	Laurie Steiner, M.D. Professor, Pediatrics Medicine	Utilizes genomics techniques to study the molecular mechanisms underlying erythroid maturation and development in both normal and disease states
	Gaëlle J S Talross, PhD	Arrives at the UR in March 2026
	Paula Vertino, Ph.D. Professor, Biomedical Genetics	Cancer epigenetics: the role of DNA methylation and chromatin in driving cancer phenotypes and as a target for therapeutic intervention
	Eric Wagner, Ph.D. Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	Mechanism and physiological importance of alternative polyadenylation; Integrator Complex and transcriptional control, development and application of next-generation sequencing technologies
19.0	Ning Wang, Ph.D. Assistant Professor, Biology	Cell biology, biochemistry, and molecular genetics; Organelle biogenesis; Organelle degradation through selective autophagy; Organelle aging and neurodegeneration; Cellular stress response

Faculty	Interests
Joseph Wedekind, Ph.D. Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	Structure and function analysis of gene regulation by non-protein-coding (nc)RNAs as a basis for therapeutic development
Peng Yao, Ph.D. Associate Professor, Medicine	Pathophysiological function and molecular mechanism of new non-coding RNAs (and RBPs) and new modes of gene regulation in cardiac system and cardiovascular disease
Yi-Tao Yu, Ph.D. Professor, Biochemistry & Biophysics	RNA modification; pre-mRNA splicing; snRNP biogenesis; telomerase RNA modification and aging; nonsense-disease therapeutics.

# Biochemistry and Molecular Biology **Graduate Advisory Committee**



Mark Dumont



Dmitri Ermolenko



Jeffrey Hayes




Lynne Maquat



Joshua Munger



Mitchell O'Connell



Eric Wagner



Yi-Tao Yu

#### **BMB Elective Courses**

#### Fall 2025

#### BCH 515 CRITICAL THINKING IN RESEARCH SCIENCE (1)

Students present a history of experimental work leading to their research project. This includes a selection of published and unpublished work from their advisor's lab and other labs in the same field, providing a rationale for the project. Students conclude with a report of their published and preliminary data. Focus will be on interpreting experimental data and engaging student interactions.

#### BCH 517 TOPICS IN CELLULAR, BIOCHEMICAL AND MOLECULAR SCIENCE (1)

Students attend presentations in the Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics Seminar Series. Instructors and students select speakers and read 2-3 publications (suggested by the speaker) in depth. Students present these papers to the class, instructors and the speaker's faculty host in a journal club setting prior to the speaker's arrival. Finally, students attend a post-seminar class with the selected speaker.

#### BCH 521 BIOINFORMATICS FOR LIFE SCIENTISTS (4)

This course will teach scripting in Python and also algorithm design for bioinformatics. It expects no prior knowledge in programming. The class will meet twice a week – once for a traditional lecture and once for a laboratory session.

#### BCH 570 MULTILAYERED CONTROL OF GENE EXPRESSION

We will meet once per week (1.5 hours/session) for this literature-based course meeting, where students read and discuss research papers describing how, in higher eukaryotes, gene expression is shaped by multiple and often interconnected layers of regulation. The instructor has selected recent research papers that illustrate how given regulators may influence different steps of gene expression and how these steps cooperate to robustly control gene expression. The purpose of this course is to familiarize students with current models of gene expression and with contemporary research methodologies through student-led discussions of publications in the field.

This is an advanced biochemistry course intended for senior undergraduate and graduate students. Students are expected to read the papers before class and participate in the classroom discussion

#### BIO 422 BIOLOGY OF AGING (4)

This course focuses on molecular mechanisms of aging. We will discuss popular theories of aging, model organisms used in aging research, evolution of aging, relation between aging and cancer, human progeroid syndromes, and interventions to slow aging.

#### BIO 426 DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY (4)

This course deals with the cellular and molecular aspects of animal development, with emphasis on processes and underlying mechanisms. Topics include embryonic cleavage, gastrulation, early

development of model vertebrates and invertebrates, patterning of cell fates along embryonic axes of Drosophila and vertebrates, organogenesis and stem cells.

#### CHM 411 INORGANIC CHEMISTRY I (4)

This course covers bonding in inorganic molecules, molecular symmetry, an introduction to solid-state chemistry, coordination chemistry and the properties of transition metal complexes. Two 75 minute lectures per week, 7 workshops, 6 problem sets, three midterm examinations and a final examination.

#### CHM 415 GROUP THEORY (2)

Development of symmetry and group theory concepts and scope of applications to chemical problems. Applications include molecular orbital theory, ligand field theory and spectroscopy. (Fall, 1st half of semester.)

#### CHM 423 NMR SPECTROSCOPY (2)

(Formerly CHM 422) - An introduction to NMR spectroscopy. Collection, processing, and interpretation of homonuclear and heteronuclear 1D and multidimensional spectra will be covered. Topics to be discussed include chemical shifts, relaxation, and exchange phenomena. Examples from organic, inorganic, and biological chemistry will be used. (Fall, 1st half of semester).

#### MBI 473 IMMUNOLOGY (3)

This lecture-based course will cover basic concepts in development and function of the immune system, including innate immunity and inflammation, adaptive T and B lymphocyte responses, immunity to infection, vaccination, tumor immunotherapy, transplantation, allergy, and autoimmunity. Small group meetings will be held weekly to discuss open-ended problems based on recent lectures. Students will be evaluated by three exams.

#### PHP 403 HUMAN CELL PHYSIOLOGY (4)

This course is aimed at providing an introduction to the fundamental principles of stem cell biology, modern cell physiology, tissue and organ physiology, and intercellular communication. Initially the course will provide the implications of cellular and molecular principles for stem cell biology. Subsequently, the remainder of the course will focus on the integrated physiological responses and intercellular signaling of cells, tissue systems, and intact organs in both healthy and diseased states. The material will include basic concepts, principal research questions, and common methodologies - emphasis will be on a quantitative approach wherever possible. Critical reading and evaluation of recent literature relevant to each major topic will be an integral part of the course. This essential skill, key to the success of any burgeoning research scientist, will be thoroughly assessed through participation in the weekly "Paper" sessions.

#### BST 463 INTRO TO BIOSTATISTICS (4)

Introduction to statistical techniques with emphasis on applications in the health sciences. Summarizing and displaying data; introduction to probability; Bayes' theorem and its application in diagnostic testing; binomial, Poisson, and normal distributions; sampling distributions; estimation, confidence intervals, and hypothesis testing involving means and proportions; simple correlation and regression; contingency tables; use of statistical software.

#### BST 464 APPLIED LINEAR REGRESSIONS (4)

One-way and two-way analysis of variance; multiple comparisons involving means; fixed and random effects; simple and multiple linear regression; analysis of covariance; interactions; correlation and partial correlation; multicollinearity; model selection; model checking.

#### PTH 507 CANCER BIOLOGY (3)

The lectures will provide historical perspectives of cancer incidence, treatment, and early scientific inquiry as a foundation for understanding the current state of cancer research. Leading basic and translational scientists will discuss the genetic basis of cancer in both familial cancer syndromes and acquired somatic mutations. Research on the normal cellular functions such as cell cycle control, apoptosis, and signal transduction that become aberrant in cancer progression will also be discussed. Additionally, the mechanism of chemical and viral induction of cancer will also be explored.

The second half of the course will focus on clinical identification and treatment of cancer as well as the

mechanism of the rapeutic action in prevention of carcinogenesis. Lectures from leading clinician-scientists will provide insight for cancer treatment with goals of understanding the human impact of the disease and identifying common themes, as well as distinctive characteristics of cancer.

#### Spring 2026

#### BIO 415 MOLECULAR BIOLOGY OF CELL SIGNALLING (4)

This course offers an introduction to cell signaling. We will explore basic molecular mechanisms of signal transduction, and study how these mechanisms are used in different contexts to direct cell fate during development, physiology and disease. The course will draw heavily on experiments from the classic and most recent primary literature.

#### BIO 453 COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGY (4)

An introduction to the history, theory, and practice of using computers to conduct biological research. Topics include the fundamentals of Linux-based computing and perl programming, accessing and storing biological data, alignment of molecular sequences, and computer-based analysis of data.

#### BPH411 METHODS IN STRUCTURAL BIOLOGY (2)

An introduction to the theory and practical application of several major techniques used in the structural characterization of biological macromolecules. These methods include: X-ray crystallography, Small Angle X-ray Scattering, Spectroscopic and Calorimetric Techniques, NMR and Comparative Modeling. The goal is to enable non-specialists to become conversant in the language and principles of the field, as well as to understand the strengths and limitations of various techniques. This course is a prerequisite to the literature-based course BPH592, "Advanced Topics in Biomolecular Diffraction and Scattering". Non-majors should also consider BCH412 "Advanced Topics in Biological Macromolecules". Offered for the first half of the Spring semester.

#### BPH 509 MOLECULAR PHYSICS (2)

This course is designed to show how physical concepts and techniques are used to explore and understand biological phenomena. A major portion of the term focuses on thermo-dynamics of biological molecules and systems; the remainder covers the structure and physical properties of biological membranes and transport. Students are expected to have had basic courses in physics, chemistry, and biology, with an in-depth background in at least one of these areas. Offered for the second half of the Spring semester.

#### CHM 440 BIO ORGANIC CHEMISTRY (4)

(Formerly CHM 437) An introduction to bioorganic chemistry and chemical biology. The course will present a survey of how the principles of organic chemistry have been applied to understand and exploit biological phenomena and address fundamental questions in life sciences. The course is primarily based upon the primary literature. Covered topics include the design and mechanism of enzyme mimics and

small molecule catalysts (organocatalysts), synthesis and chemical modification of biomolecules (oligonucleotides, proteins, and oligosaccharides), design and application of oligonucleotide and peptide mimetics, and chemical approaches to proteomic and genetic analyses. Not open to freshmen and sophomores.

#### GEN 507 ADVANCED GENETICS AND GENOMICS (4)

This course offers in-depth discussions of theoretical concepts and experimental strategies in genetics and genomics. Lectures will cover genetically tractable model organisms, including yeast, Drosophila, Caenorhabditis elegans (a nematode), mouse, and human and their analyses from gene to genome and systems level. Examples of the particular questions that can be addressed with advantage in each genetic model will be presented, and the special genetic approaches feasible in these respective systems will be emphasized. The course builds upon a strong prior background in Mendelian and molecular genetics. Topics covered include the genetic basis of pattern formation, cell-fate determination, control of cell function, structure-function relationships in macromolecules, and searching for genes important in human health. Additional topics incorporated recently into the course include genome structure & evolution, small RNAs & mobile genetic elements, epigenetics and genomics, proteomics, and other studies at the whole genome level.

#### IND 419 Introduction to Quantitative Biology (3)

This is a graduate-level survey course that introduces concepts for the analysis of high volume biological data in the context of important current biological questions. No previous computational experience is required. Course Aims and Objectives: At the end of this course, students should have a deeper understanding of the computational tools involved in the analysis of high volume biological data, focusing on web-based resources but also introducing core approaches in bioinformatics. As an advanced-level course, we will emphasize critical thinking and reading of the primary literature to understand original experiments, rather than abstract facts and memorization. Students' knowledge, understanding and ability to formulate new ideas will be evaluated through homework and discussions.

#### IND 443 EUKARYOTIC GENE REGULATIONS (4)

This advanced course examines mechanisms of chromatin-mediated regulation of gene expression, relating molecular structures, dynamic interactions, nuclear processes, 3-D nuclear organization to biological functions. Topics include DNA structures, packaging and higher order chromatin organization in the nucleus, the transcription machinery, eukaryotic chromosome structure and its modifications, epigenetics and functional genomics, dynamics of nuclear processes, nuclear reprogramming, development and applications of genome manipulation technology. Lectures and readings draw heavily on primary literature both classic and most recent.

#### IND 447 SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION (4)

Cellular signal transduction is one of the most widely studied topics in the biomedical sciences. Cells have multiple mechanisms for sensing the environment and converting the external signals into intracellular responses that are important for regulation of human physiology. Dysregulation of these processes can result in disease and manipulations of these pathways are the basis for many therapeutics.

#### MBI 421 MICROBIAL GENETICS (3)

This course provides an in-depth examination of representative genetic systems in bacteria and bacterial viruses. Emphasis is placed on the methods of genetic analysis used to study biological function. The material covered includes: the nature of bacterial variation, processes affecting gene synthesis and integrity, the nature of gene transfer in bacteria, the regulation of gene expression in prokaryotes and genomic approaches to the study of microbial genetics. (Graduate students register for MBI 521 Seminar).

#### MBI 456 GENERAL VIROLOGY (4)

Provides an introduction to animal virology, with emphasis on human disease. Topics covered include the following: general properties of viruses, methods in viral research, virus structure, biochemistry of virus replication, virus- host cell interactions, pathogenesis, HIV/AIDS, emerging infections, vaccines, antivirals, and viral vectors and gene therapy. Three exams.

#### PHP 404 PRINCIPLES OF PHARMACOLOGY (4)

Pharmacology is one of the vital disciplines in biomedical sciences. It employs the multidisciplinary knowledge in biochemistry, cell biology, chemistry, genetics, neuroscience, pathology, physiology, toxicology, and clinical medicine, to elucidate the mechanisms of action of drugs in treating human diseases. This course represents a collective endeavor of our faculty to the teaching of graduate and senior undergraduate students in UR. It focuses on the fundamental principles of pharmacology, neuropharmacology, cardiovascular pharmacology, and contemporary approaches to drug discovery and design.

#### PhD Research Syllabus 595 PhD Research

#### **Number of Credits**

The specific number of research credits for which a student will enroll varies from one semester to another, generally increasing in number as students progress through their respective programs and complete the required and elective didactic coursework. Students may register for this course for a minimum of 1 and maximum of 16 credits.

#### **Course Instructor**

The instructor will be a faculty member who is qualified to supervise the PhD research of the student (i.e., the research advisor).

#### **Course Description**

Students will conduct mentored independent research in pursuit of a doctoral dissertation. This research will include applying experimental, computational, and/or analytical methods to advance their mentored research project. Students will properly document their work and communicate it through meetings, presentations, and/or in the pursuit of manuscripts.

#### **Prerequisites**

Dissertation research is restricted to students who are currently enrolled in a PhD graduate program and who are in good academic standing.

#### **Aims and Objectives**

Aims and objectives will vary, depending on the program and level of research training. In general, students will make significant progress toward the following:

- Acquire and maintain, as appropriate to the stage of the student's research, a thorough understanding of the existing foundational knowledge related to the research project
- Develop novel research avenues that have the potential to significantly advance the field
- Identify available and applicable research-related resources
- Acquiring the reguisite technical knowledge to further develop the research project
- Continuing training in the responsible conduct of research
- Demonstrate understanding of the various aspects of the research process and critical evaluation of research project outcomes
- Develop a dissertation research proposal
- Prepare sufficient documentation to ensure the reproducibility of the research
- Complete a draft dissertation chapter (or chapters) as appropriate to the stage of research
- Prepare work for dissemination to the broader research community (i.e., manuscripts, conferences, presentations)

#### **Doctoral Learning Outcomes and Assignments**

This semester-long course involves mentored independent research as part of progress toward completion of a dissertation, which represents the conclusion of doctoral training upon successful defense. Knowledge, research and scholarship, communication, and professional development support the mission of graduate education.

- Knowledge of core concepts and principles of the specific discipline within the student's chosen program of study
- Research and scholarship skills to define a specific problem or unanswered question, describe studies conducted to gather necessary data or develop methodology to address this problem or question, describe approaches to analyze and interpret the data obtained or to assess the methodology, and discuss the implications of these findings
- Ability to communicate results of research, scholarship, and performance to colleagues and the public through oral/audiovisual and written work
- Preparation and development for immediate integration into the professional career for which the student has been trained

#### **Assignments**

Research credit is given for specific activities, including but not limited to: planning and executing experiments or other appropriate studies, analyzing data, preparing and delivering presentations, reading the literature, participating in laboratory or research team meetings, writing manuscripts, and collaborating on the projects of other research team members. Activities appropriate to the student's research projects will be assigned by the course instructor. Students are accountable for meeting the expectations of their research mentor(s) (i.e., the course director) and committees, and the standards of ethical conduct and academic integrity that are set by the University of Rochester.

- Academic Honesty
   https://www.rochester.edu/graduate-education/academic-resources/regulations/
- Office of Research Integrity
   https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/smd/research-integrity.aspx
- Policy Against Discrimination and Harassment
   https://www.rochester.edu/policies/policy/discrimination-harassment/

#### **Methods of Assessing Student**

Grade out of 100%

- 40% Research effort- Effort will be measured based on participation in research activities as co-developed by the course director and the student.
- 40% Research progress- Research progress will be measured based on any/all of the following: generation of tangible research products (abstracts, papers, figures, protocols, code, etc.), demonstration of technical mastery (acquisition of new abilities, or significant

improvement in regard to data generation or gathering, statistical analysis, etc.), and intellectual development (completion of literature review, hypothesis generation, writing a research plan or study outline, etc.).

• 20% Research annotation and communication- This portion of the grade will be based on the student following appropriate record keeping policies and taking part in activities designed to disseminate research (abstracts, papers, presentations, journal clubs, etc.)

Evaluation of performance in these criteria should be provided in the form of written feedback and discussed with the student as part of their annual (or semi-annual) evaluation.

#### **Basis of Grade Determination**

Students will receive one of the following grades:

A Very good to Excellent

A- Good

B+

B Marginal

C-

C Unsatisfactory

Ε

Per the SMD Grade Policy, grades of B- or better are required for satisfactory performance in the PhD program. One grade below B- in any course or research experience is cause for review of overall performance and will result in academic probation for the duration of graduate study. A second grade below B- is basis for dismissal from the PhD program.

https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/education/graduate/trainee-handbook/policies-benefits/grade-policy

A grade below B+ (marginal) may trigger additional review of the student's effort/progress by their respective graduate program.

#### **Course Policies and Expectations**

Research Effort

Research effort should be sufficient to facilitate steady progress toward research or other professional goals, and align with credit hour guidelines set by the State of New York. For example, a total of 6 credit hours is approximately equivalent to 270-360 hours over a semester (19-20 hrs/week); 16 credit hours is approximately equivalent to 720-960 hours over a semester (40-55 hrs/week).

Per SMD policy, students are permitted to take two weeks of vacation time (10 business days) each calendar year in addition to official University holidays. For details, visit

https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/education/graduate/trainee-handbook/policies-benefits/vacation-and-holidays

Semester breaks are not considered holidays. Students are expected to inform the course director of their vacation schedule well in advance.

Unexpected absences for illness, family emergencies, etc. require prompt notification of the course director. Unexplained absences of a chronic or continuous nature may result in an unsatisfactory grade for this course.

#### Regular Meetings

Attendance at all pre-arranged meetings with the course director, committee members, and program director are mandatory. Students are expected to discuss their meeting schedule with the course director. The course director will determine the exact number of one-on-one meetings that will take place with the student during the semester. It is expected that the student and the course director will communicate regularly and that the student will meet with the course director at least once every two weeks. If a student must miss a pre-arranged meeting for any reason, prior notice is required. If prior notice is not possible, notification should be provided as soon as possible.

#### Conduct of Research

Students are expected to abide by the University's policy on Research Misconduct.

Students are expected to adhere to any state, local, or University safety policies relevant to their research environment. Students are required to complete periodic training in biological safety, animal, and human research as necessary for their research project.

#### Resources

The required materials for dissertation research will depend on each student's thesis project and graduate program requirements. Students will work on an independent research project under the supervision of the course director and will document their research in accordance with the University's dissertation manual. Students will be expected to comply with the University of Rochester's instructions on writing and formatting a thesis document upon approval by the student's committee to commence writing.

 $\underline{\text{https://www.rochester.edu/graduate-education/academic-resources/dissertation-manual/}}$ 

#### Guidelines for Ph.D. Student Thesis Advisory Committee Meetings (post-qualifying exam):

Note: Committee meetings are for the student and should be student-driven. Doctoral mentors should refrain from speaking over or for the student and should play an accessory role during the committee meeting.

- I. The Committee meeting should be held immediately after the student seminar, or as soon after the seminar as it can be scheduled.
- II. The Research Review Form should be distributed to all members of the thesis committee at least two working days prior to the scheduled committee meeting.
- III. The purposes of the thesis committee meeting are to:
  - determine whether the student is making adequate progress in the lab.
  - -determine whether the student has accumulated sufficient background knowledge of his or her field to provide a context for the ongoing project
  - identify possible deficiencies and strengths of the student's previous and planned research strategies
  - provide technical assistance to help the student overcome roadblocks
  - assess the feasibility and goals of the student's immediate and longer-range research plans
  - facilitate the planning and preparation of manuscripts
  - establish a timeline for graduation
  - provide guidance regarding the student's post-degree career planning
- IV. Meetings should be scheduled for 2 hours with all committee members present (although they can end up being shorter than that). Note: If a faculty member unexpectedly misses the student's seminar, the student should meet with them individually prior to the committee meeting to apprise them of their progress

#### A rough schedule of the agenda for thesis committee meetings is as follows:

- 1. (~5 minutes) The student will be asked to leave the room to allow the committee to meet with the advisor.
- 2. (20-60 minutes) The student may present a short ( $^{\sim}$ 15-30 min) overview showing data accumulated since the last TAC and summarizing where their research project stands and their plans for continuing the project. The committee may ask about other material presented in the student seminar that requires clarification.
- 3. (0-30 minutes) The student may present any additional pertinent aspects of their project that were not discussed in the student seminar.
- 4. (15 minutes) The student will discuss short- and long-range plans for continuing the project and plans for publication.
- 5. (10 minutes) The student will discuss plans for graduation and solicit input from the committee on post-graduation career planning.
- 6. (5-10 minutes) The student will be asked to leave the room a second time while the committee decides on the evaluation of progress and discusses suggestions and critiques to be added to the Research Review Form.
- 7. (5-10 minutes) The student will rejoin the committee and the advisor will leave the room to allow the committee to discuss any concerns that the student may have about work environment and research progress.
- V. After the meeting, the advisor will incorporate the committee's critiques and suggestions into the Research Review Form and circulate the form to all members of the committee for approval.
- VI. The final approved Research Review Form will be sent to the BMB program administrator, to all committee members, and to the office of the Associate Dean for Graduate Studies.

# Appendix Forms



## Rotation Evaluation Form (Faculty)

Please complete this form *electronically* and submit to the office of Graduate Education and Postdoctoral Affairs by the due date at the end of the form.

Student Name:	Enter text.			URID:	Enter text.			
Mentor Name:	Enter text.	Enter text.						
Program Name:	Choose program			MD/P	hD Student?	Choose an item.		
Evaluation Date:	MM/DD/YYYY	Rotation Start Date:	MM/DD/YYYY	Rota	tion End Date:	MM/DD/YYYY		
Extent of your personal involvement in training:  Choose an item.  Recommended Grade:						Choose an item.		
Did the student mo	eet rotation research	report requirements via	oral or written		Choose an	item.		
ı	Evaluation Unacceptable Needs Meets Exceeds Improvement Expectations Expectation							
Ability to design ex	periments							
Bench work (may n	not apply)							
Analytical skills								
Work ethic								
Lab/research meet	ing participation							
Background knowle	edge							
Notebook								
Attendance (in the	lab or otherwise)							
Attitude and intelle	ectual involvement							
Grasp of new conce	epts/self-sufficiency							
Overall evaluation								
Project Title/Description:								
Enter text.								
Faculty evaluation of student's strengths and weaknesses:  Comment on the student's strengths and weaknesses. In addition, comment on the quality of the student's written report (if one was required):								
Enter text.	Enter text.							
I have HONESTLY discussed with the student his/her performance during this rotation and provided constructive criticism.								

#### Instructions for Evaluation Submission to the Graduate Education and Postdoctoral Affairs Office

The Lab Mentor completes the evaluation and emails the final document to the Graduate Program Coordinator and the Graduate Program Director by the appropriate due date below. The Graduate Program Coordinator will forward the document via email to:

- <u>SMDGradEval@urmc.rochester.edu</u>
- Student

Upon receipt of the email, the Graduate Education and Postdoctoral Affairs office assumes that this is the final evaluation and that all pertinent parties are in agreement. Thus, the email represents each party's signature and will be kept with the evaluation in the student file.

The form is due to the Graduate Education and Postdoctoral Affairs office on one of the following dates:

Rotation Begins	Rotation Ends	Evaluation DUE
October 1	December 15	December 20
January 1	March 15	April 1
March 16	May 31	June 15
July 1	August 31	September 15



## Rotation Evaluation Form (Student)

Please complete this form **electronically** and submit by the due date at the end of the form.

Student Name:	Enter text.				URID:	Enter text.		
Mentor Name:	Enter text.							
Program Name:	Choose progran	1			MD/PhD Student?	Choose an item.		
Evaluation Date:	MM/DD/YYYY	1M/DD/YYYY Rotation Start Date: MM/DD/YY			Rotation End Date:	MM/DD/YYYY		
My attendance (in th	ne lab or otherwise	e) was:		Cho	Choose an item.			
Have you been assig	ned background re	eadings?		Cho	oose an item.			
Can you perform (ex	ecute) your own e	xperiments?		Cho	oose an item.			
How much have you	learned technical	y?		Choose an item.				
Contact with mentor	:			Choose an item.				
Did your mentor kee	p commitments, a	ppointments, etc.?		Choose an item.				
Who did the bulk of the training?				Cho	oose an item.			
Did you get along with your mentor?				Cho	oose an item.			
Was your mentor a g	good rotation advis	sor?		Cho	oose an item.			
Did you rotation adv	isor discuss your r	otation evaluation with yo	ou?	Cho	oose an item.			
Overall rating of rota	ation:			Choose an item.				
Did this rotation mee	et your expectatio	ns?		Choose an item.				
Please give a detailed description of your expectations for this rotation. Include any ways that your experience may have fallen short of, met, or exceeded these expectations.								
Enter text.								
Briefly describe the research project assigned for this rotation.								
Enter text.								

	,
Ente	er text.
Descr	ibe what you accomplished.
Ente	er text.
This f	orm is confidential – it will not be shown to the faculty member unless you agree to disclosure.
	Yes, the contents of this form can be disclosed.
	No, the contents of this form should remain confidential in the Graduate Education and Department files.

#### Instructions for Evaluation Submission to the Graduate Education and Postdoctoral Affairs Office

- The student completes the evaluation and emails the final document to the Graduate Program Coordinator and the Graduate Program Director by the appropriate due date below. The Graduate Program Coordinator will forward the document via email to the following:
  - SMDGradEval@urmc.rochester.edu

Describe what you believe the goals and duties were for this rotation.

- Upon receipt of the email, the Graduate Education and Postdoctoral Affairs office assumes that this is the final evaluation and that all pertinent parties are in agreement. Thus, the email represents each party's signature and will be kept with the evaluation in the student file.

This form is due to the Graduate Education and Postdoctoral Affairs office on one of the following dates:

Rotation Begins	Rotation Ends	Evaluation DUE
October 1	December 15	December 20
January 1	March 15	April 1
March 16	May 31	June 15
July 1	August 31	September 15

#### **ROTATION REQUEST FORM**

#### Instructions:

(585) 275-3417

Complete the form below and meet with your advisor to discuss your rotation preferences. This form is available as a fillable electronic document and may be emailed to your advisor for signature. Please note that a completed form must be submitted prior to each rotation period. Submission deadlines are listed at the bottom of the form.

#### Rotation Request forms due before each rotation period as follows:

- Rotation 1: Monday September 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2025
- Rotation 2: Friday December 12<sup>th</sup>, 2025
- Rotation 3: Friday March 6<sup>th</sup>, 2026

marianne\_arcoraci@URMC.rochester.edu

Program:

**Rotation Period** 

For a full rotation schedule and program overview, refer to the section following this form.

Please feel free to contact Marianne Arcoraci should you have any questions.

Room 3-8529

Date:
Name:

Students should enter the names of faculty they want to do rotations with in order by preference:

1.) 2.) 3.)

Advisor Signature

Name

Date

#### **PhD Student Laboratory Rotations & Permanent Lab Selection**

#### Overview & Timeline

#### I. Purpose

To assist PhD students in exploring their research interests and identifying a primary research mentor, all students are required to complete three laboratory rotations. Each rotation lasts approximately 8 to 10 weeks.

#### **II. Rotation Schedule**

The three rotations are scheduled as follows:

- Rotation 1: October 1 December 15
- Rotation 2: January 1 March 15
- Rotation 3: March 16 May 31

#### III. Pre-Rotation Requirements – Submit Rotation Request Form

**Students must submit the Rotation Request Form to the Graduate Coordinator by September 22, 2025.** This form asks students to list up to three faculty members they would like to rotate with, in ranked order. Before submitting this form, PhD students must meet with their first-year advisors to review their selections. Be sure to allow enough time in case your advisor does not approve your initial choices and you need to contact other faculty. The advisor's signature is required at the bottom of the form.

After all forms are received, the Graduate Coordinator will reach out to the selected faculty to confirm availability. Students may be placed with their second or third choices, but are encouraged to revisit their preferred faculty in later rotations.

**Faculty Research Presentations**: To identify potential mentors, attend Faculty Research Presentations held Mondays (1:30–3:30 PM) and Wednesdays (12–2 PM) from August 25 through September 22. A detailed schedule will be provided once finalized.

Students should also explore faculty research through the following links:

- Biochemistry (BMB) Program Faculty
- Biophysics and Structural Biology (BSCB) Program Faculty
- Affiliated Departments: Biology, Chemistry, Microbiology and Immunology

Students are encouraged to contact faculty directly to discuss rotation opportunities.

#### **IV. Post-Rotation Requirements: Evaluations & Reports**

Post-rotation evaluations & rotations are due one week after the rotation ends. If an extension is needed, students must email the Graduate Coordinator. Both students and faculty are required to complete evaluation forms and email them to the Graduate Coordinator. Forms can be accessed via the <u>GEPA Laboratory Rotations website</u>: Evaluations are filed and forwarded to the Graduate Studies Office to meet the first-year progress report requirement.

#### **PhD Student Laboratory Rotations & Permanent Lab Selection**

#### Overview & Timeline

BMB students must write a rotation report, obtain PI approval, and email to the Graduate Coordinator (<u>marianne\_arcoraci@URMC.rochester.edu</u>). Students should allow time for revisions and refer to the BMB Handbook for formatting guidance. For report expectations guidance visit the <u>BMB handbook</u>.

BSCB students should consult with their PI regarding specific reporting expectations.

#### V. Vacations & Holidays

Graduate students are expected to remain on campus and continue lab work during semester breaks and university holidays.

#### **VI. First-Year Rotations Timeline**

For more detailed guidance on lab selection, advisor expectations, and mentoring practices, students should consult the SMD PhD Programs Translational Biomedical Science Student Handbook.

#### VII. Joining a Lab

After completing the third rotation, students should contact the faculty member whose lab they are interested in joining to discuss the possibility of joining their lab permanently.

#### **VIII. Final Lab Selection**

Students must submit their final lab selection to the Graduate Coordinator by May 15 of their first year.

#### **IX. Lab Assignment Confirmation**

Once selections are submitted, the Graduate Coordinator will confirm with the faculty to finalize placement. Students will begin working in their permanent lab starting June 1.

#### X. Note to BSCB Students

BSCB students should note that their final rotation ends earlier to allow time for first-year exam preparation. After completing the exam, typically during the first week of June, students will begin in their permanent labs.



## Annual PhD Student Evaluation/Progress Report

Student Name	Enter text.				Program Name	Choose p	program
ORCID iD	Enter text.		eRA Commons l	Jsername	Enter text.		
URID	Enter text.	Ente	ering Year	YYYY	Tod	lay's Date	MM/DD/YYYY
Evaluation Perio	d Start Date	MM/DD/Y	YYYY		Evaluation Period	End Date	MM/DD/YYYY
Title of Research F	Project						
Enter text.							
INSTRUCTIONS FO	OR FORM COM	<u>PLETION</u>					
This form should be	completed <u>elect</u>	<b>tronically</b> . Ple	ease provide i	nformation r	equested from the tin	ne you begai	n the graduate program.
<ul> <li>Inform your program coordinator of your committee meeting date.</li> <li>Complete the top portion of this form and sections A-I.</li> <li>E-mail the completed form to your committee prior to the meeting.</li> <li>Advisor/Committee Responsibilities:</li> <li>Complete section J of this form, electronically.</li> <li>Come to a consensus and finalize the document between the advisor, the committee members and the student.</li> <li>Within 1 week of the committee meeting, the Advisor emails the complete and final document to the Graduate Program Coordinator and Graduate Program Director. The Graduate Program Coordinator will forward the document via email to the student, all committee members, and to Graduate Education and Postdoctoral Affairs.</li> <li>Upon receipt of the email, the Graduate Education and Postdoctoral Affairs office assumes that this is the final evaluation and that the advisor, the committee members, and the student agree on the document's contents.</li> </ul>							
The Advisor is ultim	ately responsible	for the comp	oletion and su	bmission of t	his form on an annua	l basis.	
<ul> <li>A. RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS (from the time you began the graduate program, in chronological order)</li> <li>Meetings Attended: Provide names, dates and locations. Please indicate if there was a presentation. If so, provide the title and indicate if it was a poster or oral presentation.</li> </ul>							
Enter text.							
2. Other Seminars/Presentations (include in-house)  Enter text.							
3. Papers Published							
Enter text							

4.a. Predoctoral Fellowships: Applications

Enter text.
4.b. Predoctoral Fellowships: Awarded
Enter text.
4.c. Predoctoral Fellowships: Planned
Enter text.
5. Honors/Awards Received
Enter text.
B. SERVICE AND OTHER ACTIVITIES (from the time you began the graduate program, in chronological order)
1. Teaching
Enter text.
University or Departmental Committees
Enter text.
<ol> <li>Student Activities/Organizations (indicate if you held an office)</li> </ol>
Enter text.
4. Clinical/Translational Experiences
Enter text.
<ol> <li>Other Professional Activities Not Identified Above</li> </ol>
Enter text.
6. Other Activities (community, etc.) With Professional Relevance
Enter text.
C. COURSEWORK
Remaining Required Courses  Enter text.
Courses Taken/Workshops Attended (from the time you began the graduate program, in chronological order)  Enter text.
Litter text.
3. Courses to be Taken Next Year
Enter text.
D. RESEARCH PROGRESS
Overall Objective of Research Efforts
Enter text

2. Have the aims of your thesis proposal changed since your last progress report? If so, how?
Enter text.
Provide a brief summary of accomplishments prior to the current review period.
Enter text.
4. Provide a report of your research progress for the period covered by this report. Address the aims in your proposal as well as the goals stated in your last report (1 page maximum).
Enter text.
E. GOALS FOR THE NEXT PERIOD (define whether it is a 4-, 6-, or 12-month period and why)
Enter text.
F. CAREER GOALS
Current Career Goals
Enter text.
2. Have you started to search for a job/postdoctoral position? If no, when do you anticipate starting this search?
Enter text.
G. INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (IDP) EXPECTATION  It is expected that all SMD PhD students will create and maintain an IDP. IDPs should be revised and modified on a regular basis, no less than annually. There are many IDP tools available. Students may choose the type of IDP that works best for their needs.  Do you have an up-to-date IDP in place?  Choose an item.
If no, why not? When do you expect to create/update your IDP?
Enter text.
Have you discussed your IDP with your advisor and/or another trusted mentor? You are <b>strongly encouraged</b> to share your goals with your advisors and to communicate openly.  Choose an item.
H. ADDITIONAL STUDENT COMMENTS
Are there any additional concerns/issues that you would like to discuss with the committee?
Enter text.
I. COMMITTEE MEETING INFORMATION
Committee Meeting Date: MM/DD/YYYY
If no meeting occurred, please explain why.
Enter text.

Advisor's Name:	Enter text.					
Committee Member 1 Name:	Enter text.					
Committee Member 2 Name:	Enter text.					
Committee Member 3 Name:	Enter text.					
Committee Member 4 Name:	Enter text.					
Committee Member 5 Name:	Enter text.					
J. COMMITTEE REPORT						
Is the student making satisfactory pro	ogress? Choose an item.					
		ments. Aspects to address include research efforts and progress, d coursework requirements or suggestions.				
Enter text.						
Committee recommendations including suggestions, areas in need of improven		pals, suggested changes in the project, specific experimental				
Enter text.						
Should the student meet with the coryear?	mmittee at 6 months instead of 1	Choose an item.				
Anticipated month/year of PhD defen	nse:	MM/YYYY				
Please rate the student's progress for	the period covered by this report:	Choose an item.				

#### Instructions for Evaluation Submission to the Graduate Education and Postdoctoral Affairs Office:

- Come to a consensus and finalize document between the advisor, the committee members and the student.
- Within 1 week of the committee meeting, the Advisor emails the **complete and final** document to the Graduate Program Coordinator and the Graduate Program Director. The Graduate Program Coordinator will forward the document via email to:
  - 1. SMDGradEval@urmc.rochester.edu
  - 2. All Committee Members
  - 3. Student
- Upon receipt of the email, the Graduate Education and Postdoctoral Affairs office assumes that this is the final evaluation and that the advisor, the committee members and the student agree on the document's contents. Thus, the email represents each party's signature and will be kept with the evaluation in the student file.

#### Biochemistry and Molecular Biology End of First year evaluation: Rubric

Student:
----------

		"Scoring" is based on the following system						
Outcome/Assessment	Score	Outstanding	Very Good Acceptable		Marginal	Not Achieved		
		4	3	2	1	0		
Fall semester grades	Grade:	Avg of 3.8 and above	Avg of 3.5-3.79	Avg of 3.0-3.49	Avg of 2.33-2.99, or one grade of C	Avg <2.33, or two grades of C		
Spring semester grades	Grade:	Avg of 3.8 and above	Avg of 3.5-3.79	Avg of 3.0-3.49	Avg 2.33-2.99, or one C grade of C	Avg <2.33, or two grades of C		
Rotation 1 Evaluation Mentor:	Score:	Mixture of "Meets Expectations" and "Exceeds Expectations"	All "Meets Expectations"	Mostly "Meets Expectations" but one "Requires More Effort" * & not balanced by "exceeds expectations"	≤ 2 "Requires More Effort" *	At least one "Unacceptable" or the majority of metrics ranked as "Requires More Effort" *		
Rotation 2 Evaluation Mentor:	Score:	Mixture of "Meets Expectations" and "Exceeds Expectations"	All "Meets Expectations"	Mostly "Meets Expectations" but one "Requires More Effort" * & not balanced by "exceeds expectations"	≤ 2 "Requires More Effort" *	At least one "Unacceptable" or the majority of metrics ranked as "Requires More Effort" *		
Rotation 3 Evaluation Mentor:	Score:	Mixture of "Meets Expectations" and "Exceeds Expectations"	All "Meets Expectations"	Mostly "Meets Expectations" but one "Requires More Effort" * & not balanced by "exceeds expectations"	≤ 2 "Requires More Effort" *	At least one "Unacceptable" or the majority of metrics ranked as "Requires More Effort" *		
Involvement in activities (e.g., lunch with speakers, attend monthly student meetings, attend seminars)  Comments:	Score:	Regularly	Often	Sometimes	Infrequently	Never*		

Comments:

Lab joined or to be joined:

If yes, see below. If no, then the Program Director will speak first with the faculty mentor for the rotation and request that they communicate concerns directly to the student, preferably in writing or both orally and in writing.

<sup>\*</sup>Is there evidence that the faculty mentor communicated this with the student? In writing, orally, or both?

#### Follow up after first year student evaluations:

Students who receive a 0 for coursework will be immediately dismissed from the program.

For students who receive a 1 or 0 (in any other category except grades), the following may happen:

- They will meet with the Program Director immediately (may not wait for end of the year) to discuss barriers to success and concerns. Actions that follow will depend on the situation, and may include setting up tutoring or counseling, meeting with faculty mentor(s), and possibly the Senior Associate Dean for Graduate Education.
- They will be put on academic probation
- They will be dismissed from the program if none of the mentors with whom they rotated is willing to have the student join his/her laboratory
- They may not be eligible for support on the training grant for at least one year

For students who receive a 1 in course work in either semester, and 1s in at least one rotation, the following will happen:

- They will meet with the Program Director immediately (may not wait for end of the year) to discuss barriers to success and concerns. Actions that follow will depend on the situation, and may include setting up tutoring or counseling, meeting with faculty mentor(s), and possibly the Senior Associate Dean for Graduate Education.
- With a faculty mentor or mentoring committee, they will outline strategic goals for improving performance. Ideally, the primary mentor should be the faculty member in whose laboratory the student will be conducting dissertation research (however, if this is necessary during the first year, the Program Director may serve in this role). Other faculty mentors will be assigned based on the situation, and may include the Program Director or someone recommended by the Senior Associate Dean for Graduate Education. Follow-up with the student's progress will occur monthly, and taper to quarterly as performance improves.
- They may be put on academic probation
- They may not be eligible for support on the Biochemistry Training Grant for at least one year
- They may be dismissed from the program if none of the mentors with whom they rotated is willing to have the student join his/her laboratory

For students who receive 3s and higher, no remedial action will be taken unless they are unable to find a lab to join.

## **BIOCHEMISTRY Ph.D. PROGRAM**Thesis Defense Approval Form

Student's Name		
Date		
Advisor		
The following committee m start writing his/her thesis.	embers have agree	ed and approve the above mentioned student to
Name (please print)		Signature

NOTE: The thesis defense approval form must be completed at a committee meeting 4-6 months prior to a planned defense date.

Please return to the Biochemistry Office.



## **Chairperson Nomination for PhD Defense**

Candidate Name		UR ID:		Degree program:				
Name of PI Advisor		Department						
		-						
	Committee Members:							
Member Nam	е	Department	Internal / External		Voting Status			
Chair Name	gram are sug	ggested to serve as c  Department	gested to serve as chair of the or Department		Voting Status			
				Voting Member				
Signatures:								
Candidate:								
	X							
Program	X							
Program Director	x							
Notes								

**Note Regarding Chair Selection:** Chair must have no affiliation with the student's program, department, or project collaboration. While the primary role of the chairperson is to ensure that the thesis defense is conducted fairly and in accordance with university guidelines, it is recommended, but not required, that the selected chair possess a foundational understanding of the dissertation topic. Faculty members often find it challenging to effectively follow and engage in the defense process without a basic familiarity with the subject matter. Please ensure that all suggestions for the chairperson align with the University of Rochester's <u>Regulations & Policies Concerning Graduate Studies</u>, (page 11)



## Guidelines for the Content of a Basic Science PhD Thesis prepared by Dirk Bohmann and Eric Phizicky

### 1. Purpose of this document:

This document provides a summary of the expectations for the written content of a thesis; that is, it provides a guide for how a thesis should be structured for writing, and for the content that comprises a well written thesis.

This document is meant to be a <u>supplement</u> to the general guidelines of the University of Rochester for preparation of a thesis (THE PREPARATION OF DOCTORAL THESES: A MANUAL FOR GRADUATE STUDENTS), which can be found at the website: <a href="https://www.rochester.edu/graduate-education/academic-resources/dissertation-manual/">https://www.rochester.edu/graduate-education/academic-resources/dissertation-manual/</a> and which governs all theses at this university. Rather, the guidelines described here are meant to be a guide for the written content of the thesis.

#### 2. Overview of thesis contents

A thesis is a description and interpretation of the research conducted by the candidate that qualifies him/her for the degree of PhD.

It is written for non-specialized scientists (not for the mentor!). Specifically, every member of the thesis examination committee, including faculty from other science departments, have to be able to read and understand everything that is included in the text without consulting secondary sources. Specialist terms need to be explained or avoided. Non-standard techniques have to be explained.

It is written in English with correct spelling and grammar. It is not the job of the committee to proof-read the text. Having the text of the thesis corrected and edited for clarity by a second person (mentor or otherwise) is acceptable and highly recommended. A committee member can refuse to accept a thesis with excessive grammatical or graphical errors.

There is no formal minimum or maximum length. The thesis has to give an in depth account of the background and scientific question addressed, as well as a detailed description of the conducted experiments, that is typically more specific than the published literature on the same work. Independent and original thought is welcome. An alliteration of published fact(oid)s with tangential relevance to the research topic (just to fill up pages) should be avoided.

### 3. Sections of the thesis

#### <u>Title page</u>



It is not necessary to include all of a published paper in a chapter, if for instance the candidate's contribution was a limited part. Additional data not included in the paper can also be added to a chapter.

One or more final chapters may include a collection of experiments that are not yet organized as manuscripts. These chapters should also have a title, an abstract, and a discussion that contains more in-depth interpretations and/or a general perspective on the overall set of results.

The paper format is encouraged as it is expected that every candidate will have one or more first author papers by the time of the thesis defense. However, the alternate format of having the thesis organized as separate chapters containing the Materials and Methods, Results, and Discussion is also acceptable.

### Perspectives chapter

Each thesis should also include a final chapter (which could be entitled "Final Perspectives", "Perspectives", "Overall Conclusions", or some similar title) in which the candidate tries to tie up his thesis and add any overall perspectives. For example, the candidate might recapitulate the state of the field at the outset of the thesis, summarize the major results of the thesis, explain the status of the field as a result of the thesis work, explain current gaps in our knowledge of the field, raise questions that arise as a result of the thesis, or speculate on likely future directions of the field.

## 5. Description of the specific contents of each section of a chapter:

**Title and Abstract:** Each chapter should have its own title page, and an abstract page (abstract limited to 250 words)

**Introduction:** The introduction of each results chapter (manuscript, paper or results chapter) should outline the relevant background of the field without getting too expansive or detailed, and should frame the question(s) being addressed in the chapter in the context of the background. Often the last part of the introduction includes a very brief statement of the results and their significance.

#### **Results sections:**

Each experiment/group of experiments in the result section should include:

- a statement of the purpose of the experiment
- a description of the experiments and the results, with figures, tables, etc
- a brief explanation or interpretation of the results.

#### **Discussion sections:**



#### **Abstract**

- -- Must be a maximum of 350 words.
- -- Should contain no references, and no undefined non-standard abbreviations.

#### **Acknowledgements**

My boss rocks.... but I am glad to be out of here.. and I love my mother

#### **Foreword**

Although the thesis document can contain experimental data not generated by the candidate (for example those supplied by a collaborator or technician, if they are critical for the scientific argument), all such contributions must be specified in the foreword.

#### **Glossary**

A table explaining non-standard abbreviations and terms. For generally accepted abbreviations see the website at the Journal of Biological Chemistry (http://www.jbc.org/site/misc/abbrev.xhtml)

#### **Biographical Sketch**

Short academic history and list of papers published by the candidate. Date of birth and dates of earlier degrees are no longer included.

### 4. Organization of the Thesis

#### **Introductory chapter**

The introduction outlines the background of the field, and should set the stage for formulating the scientific question/problem addressed in the experimental part of the thesis. The introduction should tell a story with the candidate's own thoughts, to frame the question to be addressed in the thesis, and should not summarize all the papers that the candidate has read.

The last paragraphs of the introduction should explicitly state the questions to be addressed in the thesis, or the set of experimental aims, and the organization of the thesis.

#### Results chapters

Results chapters are most conveniently organized as papers or manuscripts, complete with abstract (250 word limit), introduction, materials and methods, results, figures and tables, discussion, and references. If there are several chapters with similar materials and methods the candidate is encouraged to organize all of the materials and methods into a single chapter. This eliminates unnecessary redundancy.



The discussion section of results chapters should include a BRIEF summary of the major findings and discoveries, without regurgitation of the results section. This section of the chapter might also address questions such as: What does it mean? Why is it relevant? How does it add to/extend existing knowledge? What general conclusions and principles (beyond the immediate field of study) may arise from this research? What were the experimental problems, ambiguities, alternative explanations? What next?

#### **Materials and Methods**

This is the most important, and most read part of the thesis for your colleagues and lab mates (and your future self). Use the opportunity to carefully document techniques that you have worked out during your PhD research in a way that others can use it as a protocol book. If the results chapters come from published papers, the materials and methods may be removed from those chapters and grouped into a single chapter. This is generally recommended as it makes the thesis easier to read and a better source for techniques.

#### **Figures and Legends**

Each figure should be clear and self-explanatory. It should be possible to gain at least a superficial understanding of the displayed experiments without reading the text or figure legends.

Each legend should have a title that conveys the conclusion of the presented experiments or data. If there are multiple panels (A, B, etc), each of these should also have a title. The body of each legend should explain all items included in the figure.

Figures can be placed on separate pages, or can be embedded in the text as text boxes.

#### References

All references in the thesis should be modeled on a journal (such as Cell) and should include a full set of authors (for ten or less authors), the complete title of the work, and the volume, and page numbers (and editor and publishers as necessary). If using reference management software, the references should be checked manually for completeness and accuracy.

#### Supplements, appendices

This part of the thesis is not a requirement, but can be highly useful for including data that does not easily fit within the main part of the thesis. Examples include movies, genomic data sets, PCR primer sets, and crystallographic coordinates or even supporting preliminary data.

BMB Qualifying Exam Rubric
Student Name:
Committee:
Advisor:
Date:
At the conclusion of the qualifying exam, the committee should fill out the response sheet. For each attribute which a committee feels is somewhat or very deficient, a short explanation should be provided.
A summary of written comments from the committee members will be provided to the student by the representative of the BMB advisory committee.
All examination documents (rubrics and written comments) must be completed regardless of the outcome of the qualifying exam.  A copy of the completed forms (both rubrics and written comments) must be sent to the BMB Graduate Coordinator, the student, & the Graduate Director.

Attribute	Requires Significant Improvement (provide a short explanation for each attribute that you reflect in this category)	Sufficient for Advancement to PhD Candidacy	Demonstrated Excellence
Written Proposal Objectives are clearly defined			
Scientific logic & justification is well articulated			
Appropriately referenced (plus demarcation of work done by others)			
Writing is of sufficient quality			
Oral Presentation Well Organized			
Scientific logic is clear			
Slides contain informative titles, conclusions, and the appropriate information			
Oral Scientific Discussion Understands important theoretical concepts/foundational knowledge			
Understands subject material and associated primary literature			

Oral Scientific Discussion (cont.) Possess knowledge of key scientific elements (ex. statistics, experimental design, important controls, experimental caveats)  Responses/Arguments are coherent, clear & relevant		
Research Progress/Potential		
Student is making appropriate research progress		
Student is utilizing effective controls with their experiments		
Research skills have developed over time		
Overall Assessment		