

JUDGE'S NAME:

3MT® Competition Judging Rubric

Participant's Name: _____

3MT® Title: _____

With "0" representing "not at all" and "9" "completely," please evaluate each participant's presentation according to the following criteria:

I. Comprehension

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
The presentation clearly explained the research project and helped me understand the research topic.										
The presenter stated the method and objectives of the research, and addressed the data, texts, and/or primary and secondary materials being used as evidence.										
The presenter was able to clearly articulate the significance of the research in accessible terms for a general audience.										
Organization was manifest throughout the presentation: the research purpose was clear from the outset.										
Ideas were logically built on each other; accessible examples were provided throughout; there was a storyline to the presentation as a whole.										
Subtotal:										/45

II. Communication and Engagement

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
The presenter explained the research in jargon free language appropriate to a non-specialist audience.										
Key terms were defined and background information was provided where useful.										
The research was presented as significant and purposeful and not overly generalized										
The presentation stimulated my interest in the research and made me want to learn more.										
The presenter spoke at an even pace; various aspects of the presentation were allotted sufficient time.										
The single PowerPoint slide was legible, concise and enhanced the presentation.										
Subtotal:										/54

Total: /99