Speech Enhancement for Listeners with Hearing Loss Based on a Model for Vowel Coding in the Auditory Midbrain
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A novel signal-processing strategy is proposed to enhance speech for listeners with hearing loss. The strategy focuses on improving vowel perception based on a recent hypothesis for vowel coding in the auditory system. Traditionally, studies of neural vowel encoding have focused on the representation of formants (peaks in vowel spectra) in the discharge patterns of the population of auditory-nerve (AN) fibers. A recent hypothesis focuses instead on vowel encoding in the auditory midbrain, and suggests a robust representation of formants. AN fiber discharge rates are characterized by pitch-related fluctuations having frequency-dependent modulation depths. Fibers tuned to frequencies near formants exhibit weaker pitch-related fluctuations than those tuned to frequencies between formants. Many auditory midbrain neurons show tuning to amplitude modulation frequency in addition to audio frequency. According to the auditory midbrain vowel encoding hypothesis, the response-map of a population of midbrain neurons tuned to modulations near voice-pitch exhibits minima near formant frequencies, due to the lack of strong pitch-related fluctuations at their inputs. This representation is robust over the range of noise conditions in which speech intelligibility is also robust for normal-hearing listeners. Based on this hypothesis, a vowel-enhancement strategy has been proposed that aims to restore vowel-encoding at the level of the auditory midbrain. The signal-processing consists of pitch tracking, formant-tracking and formant enhancement. The novel formant-tracking method proposed here estimates the first two formant frequencies by modeling characteristics of the auditory periphery, such as saturated discharge-rates of AN fibers and modulation tuning properties of auditory midbrain neurons. The formant enhancement stage aims to restore the representation of formants at the level of the midbrain by increasing the dominance of a single harmonic near each formant and saturating that frequency channel. A MATLAB implementation of the system with low computational complexity was developed. Objective tests of the formant-tracking subsystem on vowels suggest that the method generalizes well over a wide range of speakers and vowels.
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AN fibers tuned to frequencies intermediate to formants respond with strong fluctuations in their discharge rates (Fig. 1b, right panel) due to “beats” between neighboring harmonics. Studies of vowel-encoding have traditionally focused on the synchrony of AN fiber discharge rates to fine structure and on formant representation in the pattern of discharge rates of a population of AN fibers. However, most proposed neural encoding strategies do not yet fully account for the robust nature of speech perception across a wide range of sound levels and in noise.

Studebaker, et al. [18] showed that speech recognition scores increase with sound pressure levels (SPL) above audibility thresholds and are highest at about 80 dB SPL, beyond which scores decrease. However, neural coding of formants based on the discharge-rates of a population of AN fibers deteriorates with increasing input sound levels [16]. Everyday communication exposes listeners to a large dynamic range of speech and noise levels, and thus it is important to understand the underlying cues that make neural coding robust in these conditions.

A recent vowel-coding hypothesis [15] focuses on neural coding of vowels at the level of the auditory midbrain. Many midbrain neurons are not only tuned to the energy within a narrow range around their best audio frequency or best frequency (BF), but are also tuned to the frequency of amplitude modulations [19-21]. That is, a midbrain neuron responds maximally to energy near its BF if the energy modulation rate is close to the neuron’s best modulation frequency (BMF) (Fig. 3). Many modulation-tuned midbrain neurons in a wide range of species have BMFs between 10 and 300 Hz [reviewed in 22], which includes the range of voice pitch. According to the midbrain vowel-coding hypothesis, in addition to energy, the pitch-dependent strength of fluctuations in AN discharge-rates is significant in shaping midbrain neural responses. Also, as a consequence, a midbrain neuron with a BMF close to F0, exhibits lowered response rates if its BF is close to a formant and exhibits elevated response rates if its BF is between formants (Fig. 1c). The midbrain vowel-coding hypothesis is robust over a wide range of sound levels and tapers off for sound levels above 80 dB SPL. This neural coding strategy deteriorates for noise interference at signal-to-noise ratios consistent with listeners with normal hearing.

One of the underlying aims of any auditory neural encoding theory is to guide future hearing-aid research towards improving cues most important for normal hearing. It is thus also important to understand how a vowel-encoding scheme would account for the decrease in vowel discrimination in listeners with hearing loss. Sensorineural hearing loss is characterized in part by elevated thresholds and reduced
frequency selectivity in the peripheral auditory system. To elicit fiber responses comparable to an unimpaired auditory periphery, higher input sound pressure levels are required. In addition, fibers respond to energy over a broader range of frequencies centered on their CF (Fig. 2a). A fiber with broader tuning and more linear response properties responds with stronger fluctuations in its discharge-rates, even when its CF is close to a formant, because the dominance of the harmonic closest to the spectral peak diminishes (Fig. 2b). Therefore, further up the auditory pathway, according to the midbrain vowel-coding hypothesis, midbrain neurons tuned near formant frequencies would show responses similar to neurons tuned between formants due to the strongly modulated envelope at their inputs. In other words, hearing loss results in a loss of contrast between the rate fluctuations of these neurons. Recall that, in an unimpaired auditory system, a midbrain neuron tuned to voice pitch exhibits a low response if its BF is near a formant. Consequently, without these “dips” in the midbrain neural response map, this encoding scheme predicts a reduction of formant information for listeners with hearing loss. Therefore, signal-processing strategies aimed at the restoration of formant information at the level of the auditory midbrain, can potentially lead to improvement in vowel discrimination in listeners with hearing loss.

The signal-processing strategy described here is based on the midbrain vowel-coding hypothesis. The strategy aims to improve vowel discrimination in listeners with hearing loss by restoring cues that are important for formant encoding at the level of the auditory midbrain. The signal-processing system tracks time-varying formants in voiced segments of the input and increases the dominance of a single harmonic near each formant in order to decrease F0-related fluctuations in that frequency channel.

II. METHODS

This section describes the stages of the vowel-enhancement system. The general schematic of the vowel-enhancement system is shown in Fig. 4. The system consists of a speech analysis stage and a formant enhancement stage. The speech analysis stage performs various pre-processing tasks and estimates the fundamental frequency (F0) and the first two formants (F1 and F2) of the speech frame. The formant enhancement stage then amplifies the harmonic closest to each formant estimate, thereby increasing its dominance.

A. Signal Analysis

1) Signal Pre-processing: In the MATLAB-based implementation of the vowel-enhancement system, the incoming speech signal was divided into 32-ms long frames, with 50% overlap across successive frames. For the sampling rate of 8000 Hz, this translated into a frame length of 256 samples. First, DC offset removal was performed on the current frame, followed by windowing:

\[ s_{zm}(n) = s(n) - \bar{s}(n), \text{for } 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, n \in \mathbb{Z} \]  
\[ w(n) = 0.5 \left( 1 - \cos \frac{2\pi n}{N - 1} \right) \]  
\[ r(n) = s_{zm}(n)w(n) \]

where \( s(n) \) is a sequence representing the current input frame; \( n \) is an index that takes integer values between 0 and N-1; N is the frame length (in number of samples); \( \bar{s}(n) \) is the mean of the sequence \( s(n) \) over the frame; \( s_{zm}(n) \) is the zero-mean sequence obtained after DC removal; and \( r(n) \) is the sequence obtained after windowing \( s_{zm}(n) \) using a Hanning window \( w(n) \) of length N.

2) F0 Estimation: Voiced regions of speech (e.g., vowels) are associated with a pitch and a set of formants. The F0 estimation stage identifies the current frame as being either voiced or unvoiced, and estimates F0. Many F0 detection algorithms employ methods such as autocorrelation, average magnitude difference function, zero-crossing rates, etc., to estimate the principal period of a speech frame [reviewed in 23]. In the work presented here, a MATLAB implementation of an autocorrelation-based pitch extraction algorithm was used from the Speech and Audio Processing Toolbox [24].

Typical autocorrelation-based pitch extraction algorithms compute a running autocorrelation function (ACF) for each frame within a range of time delays. The frame’s periodicity is indicated by the peaks in \( \gamma_p(\delta) \) and the time delays (\( \delta \)) corresponding to these peaks indicate the possible pitch periods (Fig. 5). The range of possible pitch periods was limited to 2.5 ms - 14.3 ms, corresponding to a plausible voice pitch range from 70 Hz to 400 Hz [25]. Another modification...
was made to the ACF calculation in order to reduce the tapering off of the function due to decreasing overlap lengths at large values of $\delta$. This tapering effect was reduced by using a variation of the ACF in which the sum is divided by the length of overlap $(N - \delta)$:

$$c_{rr} = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-\delta} r(n) r(n + \delta)}{(N - \delta)}$$

where $c_{rr}(\delta)$ is the autocorrelation sequence of the current frame $r(n)$; $\delta$ is the lag or delay (in samples); and $N$ is the frame length (in samples).

The distinction between frames of interest (voiced frames) and silent or unvoiced frames was based on a clarity metric [26]. If for a particular frame, $c_{rr}(\delta)$ was found to be maximum at $\delta_p$, then clarity of that frame was defined as the ratio $c_{rr}(\delta_p)$/$c_{rr}(0)$. High clarity indicates frames with voiced speech whereas low clarity indicates frames with unvoiced speech or silence. A frame’s F0 estimate ($F0_{est}$) was set to 0 if its clarity was below a threshold. In the formant-tracking stage, frames with $F0_{est}$ equal to zero are considered to be unvoiced frames. A suitable threshold value for clarity for speech sentences in quiet was empirically found to be 0.50.

3) Formant Tracking: In this stage, the first two formants are estimated for the current voiced frame. Formant-tracking is not performed for frames with clarity below threshold. This stage replicates salient aspects of physiological auditory processing, such as the bandpass filtering of the auditory periphery, saturated discharge-rates of AN fibers, and the tuning of midbrain neurons to F0-related modulations. Sub-stages within the formant-tracking stage (Fig. 6) are described next.

a) Auditory Filtering: The speech frame, $r(n)$, is decomposed into multiple bandpass channels, $x(f, n)$, by an auditory filterbank comprising a set of bandpass filters with center frequencies based on the equivalent rectangular bandwidth (ERB) scale [27]. An auditory filterbank reflects properties of the basilar membrane such as the logarithmic physical mapping of frequencies, and frequency-dependent bandwidths. These filterbanks consist of approximately logarithmically-spaced filters with bandwidths increasing with center frequency. The center frequencies of the 44-channel filterbank used here ranged from 70 Hz to 3700 Hz. The lower limit of this frequency range was chosen to match the lower limit of the plausible range of human voice pitch [25].

b) Saturating non-linearity: Each filter channel of the current frame is scaled on a sample-by-sample basis using a saturating nonlinearity. The nonlinearity serves to replicate the level-dependent discharge-rate saturation characteristics of AN fibers. Saturation is critical for the enhancement algorithm as it influences the degree of amplitude modulation within the channel. The sigmoid curve used was a Boltzmann function of the form:

$$x_{nl}(f, n) = \frac{A_1 - A_2}{1 + e^{\gamma(f)\gamma(f) + A_2}}$$

where $x_{nl}(f, n)$ is the output of the nonlinearity for the bandpass-filtered channel $x(f, n)$ with center frequency $f$; $A_1$ and $A_2$ are the lower and upper limits of the nonlinearity and were fixed at -1 and 1 respectively; $\gamma(f)$ is the slope of the sigmoid curve and depends on the center frequency of the current channel. $\gamma(f)$ was determined using a frequency-dependent source spectrum threshold function based on a well-known model of speech production, described next.

According to the Source-Filter Model of Speech Production [5], speech sounds are the result of a source of sound energy (e.g., the larynx) and a vocal tract filter. The filter’s transfer function is shaped by resonances of the vocal tract. In the case of voiced sounds (Fig. 7a), the magnitude spectrum of the sound source (known as source spectrum) contains peaks at F0 and at its harmonics, with a downward slope between 8 and 16 dB/octave [5, 28]. This monotonically decreasing source spectrum is then shaped by the transfer function of the vocal tract filter (Fig. 7b), resulting in the spectral peaks known as formants. Note that F0 is attenuated (Fig. 7c) by the vocal tract filter and is usually several dB less than the level at F1.

For a frame with index $c$, the slope of the nonlinearity ($\gamma_c(f)$) was calculated such that its output had an overall flat envelope for channels near formants, similar to the output discharge-rates of AN fibers tuned near formants. The source spectrum threshold function $(S_c(f))$ is a nonlinear function of frequency and decreases monotonically, similar to the peaks of the source spectrum in the source-filter model. $S_c(f)$ was defined as:

$$S_c(f) = \frac{10^{-m \log_2(f/F0)^k - k}}{x_{rms}(F0)}$$

where $f$ is the center frequency of an auditory filter channel, and $c$ is the index of the current frame; $F0$ is the voice pitch of...
the current frame; \( x_{\text{rms}}(F0) \) is the RMS value of the filter output whose center frequency is closest to \( F0 \) (denoted as F0 Channel Select in Fig. 6); \( m \) is the source spectrum slope (in dB/octave); and \( k \) (in dB) is a factor employed to partially offset the attenuation at \( F0 \) due to the vocal-tract filter. Suitable values of \( m \) and \( k \) were empirically determined (-9 dB/octave and 6 dB respectively) such that the RMS values of channels near formants remain above the source spectrum threshold value (Fig. 7(c)), and thus result in those channels being saturated to a higher degree by the sigmoid function than channels away from formants (Fig. 8).

The frequency-dependent slope \( \gamma_c(f) \) of the nonlinearity was obtained using the following equation:

\[
\gamma_c(f) = l \cdot S_c(f)
\]  

(7)

where \( l \) is a constant that controls the influence of \( S_c(f) \) on the saturating nonlinearity. Decreasing \( l \) results in more aggressive saturation. In the current implementation, the value of \( l \) was set to 1.

c) Envelope extraction: In this stage, the envelope of each channel was obtained by removing the fine structure of the output of the nonlinearity \( x_{\text{nl}}(f_i, n) \) with a full-wave rectification followed by low-pass filtering with a cutoff frequency of 400 Hz with a 50th order FIR filter. The signal \( e_{\text{nl}}(f_i, n) \) was then obtained by performing DC offset removal on the envelope of the signal. This was done in order to remove the influence of overall energy differences between channel envelopes before calculation of the pitch-related channel strengths in the next stage.

d) Modulation filtering: Next, modulation filtering was performed to simulate the modulation-tuning of auditory midbrain neurons. Each channel envelope was passed through a narrow bandpass filter centered at \( F0 \) to extract the signal components having frequency near \( F0 \). Then, in order to quantify the relative strengths of \( F0 \)-related modulations across all channels, a measure \( M_{\text{rms}}(f) \) was obtained by calculating the RMS of each channel envelope’s \( F0 \) component. \( M_{\text{rms}}(f) \) is thus a sequence indexed on the center frequency of each channel of the auditory filterbank. Due to the higher degree of saturation near formants, frequencies corresponding to the minima of \( M_{\text{rms}}(f) \) were closest to the actual formants.

e) \( F1/F2 \) determination: Next, \( M_{\text{rms}}(f) \) was smoothed using a 5-point symmetric, exponentially weighted smoothing kernel prior to locating its local minima. Center frequencies corresponding to the minima were selected as candidate formants and sorted in ascending order of frequency. In addition to saturation of channel outputs, minima in \( M_{\text{rms}}(f) \)
could also be due to very low energy in a particular channel. In order to eliminate such spurious minima, an energy criterion was imposed using the RMS values of the output of the saturating nonlinearity of each channel. A channel having an RMS value below the average of those RMS values was rejected as a possible formant channel. From the remaining values in $M_{\text{rms}}(f)$, the formant estimates $F_{1_{\text{est}}}$ and $F_{2_{\text{est}}}$ were obtained by choosing center frequencies corresponding to the first two values. The formant estimates were thus limited to center frequencies of auditory filters.

**B. Formant Enhancement**

This stage utilizes $F_{0_{\text{est}}}$, $F_{1_{\text{est}}}$ and $F_{2_{\text{est}}}$ provided by the speech analysis stage to boost the dominance of a single harmonic near $F_1$ and $F_2$. According to the midbrain vowel-coding hypothesis, deterioration of formant-encoding at the level of auditory midbrain neurons can be attributed to broadened frequency selectivity properties of an impaired auditory periphery, resulting in a reduction in the dominance of the harmonic closest to formants. As a logical extension, artificially increasing the dominance of a harmonic was hypothesized to counter this phenomenon and lead to AN discharge characteristics more similar to those in the normal ear.

As shown in Fig. 9, first, the frequencies $v_1$ and $v_2$ of two harmonics were calculated by finding the integer multiples of $F_{0_{\text{est}}}$ closest to $F_{1_{\text{est}}}$ and $F_{2_{\text{est}}}$. If any formant estimate was found to be equidistant from two adjacent harmonics, the lower harmonic was chosen.

Next, two linear-phase narrowband finite impulse response (FIR) bandpass filters, centered at $v_1$ and $v_2$ respectively, having passband gains of $g_1$ and $g_2$, amplified the respective harmonics in the current speech frame, $s(n)$. In the current implementation, an FIR filter of order 300 was generated using the Kaiser Window method of FIR filter design, using a bandwidth of 50 Hz and a stopband attenuation of 25 dB. A gain $g_0$ was then applied to the summation in order to account for elevated thresholds in listeners with hearing loss. Appropriate values of these gains would be determined empirically for each subject. The gains $g_1$ and $g_2$ would be fixed across time, and selected based on responses to a range of vowel sounds. Fig. 10 shows the spectrogram of a speech utterance before and after processing by the speech-enhancement system.

**III. RESULTS**

A non real-time implementation of the system with tunable parameters was developed in MATLAB to test the ability of the vowel-coding hypothesis to guide a novel formant-tracking method and to enhance the discrimination of vowels in listeners with hearing loss. The three parameters of the saturating non-linearity in the formant-tracking subsystem – $k$, $l$ and $m$ in (6) and (7), were deduced empirically using a speech dataset consisting of four

**Fig. 7.** (a) The spectrum of a sound source with $F_0=100$ Hz is shown. Locations of the vertical lines represent harmonic frequencies and their length indicates the intensity of the harmonic. The source spectrum slopes ($m$) downwards at a rate of 9 dB/octave starting from $F_0$. (b) The gain versus frequency plot of a vocal-tract filter with three spectral peaks is shown. (c) The spectrum of the resultant sound is shown. Notice that the intensity of the first harmonic ($F_0$) is several dB less than that of the first formant. The dashed curve is an example source spectrum threshold function $S_c(f)$ calculated for an offset ($k$) of about 30 dB above the amplitude of $F_0$. For clarity, figures and parameters are exaggerated here.

Fig. 8. (a) Waveforms of two bandpass channels are shown, one centered near a formant (left panels) and the other centered between formants (right panels). (b) The corresponding outputs of the saturating nonlinearity for both waveforms are shown. The output of the envelope extraction step is shown as thick dashed lines. As shown above, the nonlinearity saturates channels near formants to a higher degree than channels away from formants. Thus, the envelope (dashed lines) is much flatter for channels near formants.

Fig. 9. Schematic of the formant enhancement stage. Pitch- and formant-estimates are used to first calculate the harmonics ($v_1$ & $v_2$) closest to the formants. The input speech frame is then filtered by two narrow bandpass filters centered at each calculated harmonic and gains $g_1$ and $g_2$ are applied respectively. A gain $g_0$ is applied to the summed signal, which results in the output speech frame. $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes rounding-off to the nearest integer.
vowels: /ae/ ("had"), /iy/ ("heed"), /uw/ ("who'd") and /uh/ ("hud") from one male speaker. These recordings were provided by Professor J. McDonough (Department of Linguistics, University of Rochester). Keeping these parameters fixed, the formant-tracking subsystem was then evaluated using a vowel database containing 12 English vowels spoken by 139 speakers consisting of 93 adults (male and female speakers) and 46 children (27 boys and 19 girls). The database consists of single-vowel samples of the form "hVd", where V is an English vowel [30]. This annotated database contains acoustic measurements of each vowel sample including vowel durations, start and stop-times, and pitch and formant values at the middle of the vowel duration.

In order to compare estimates of the formant-tracking subsystem to the database formant values, the vowel portion from each sample was extracted using the vowel start and end times provided by the database. This segment was then downsampled to 8000 Hz and was passed through the pitch tracking and formant-tracking subsystems. Next, F0_est, F1_est and F2_est of the center-most frame were selected. The magnitude of the difference between each formant estimate and its corresponding known formant frequency from the database was normalized using the known F0 value. This measure of error gauges the deviation of the estimates in terms of number of harmonics, for example, values of this measure between 2 and -2 indicate that the formant estimate was correct within two harmonics. Vowel utterances for which the pitch tracking system wholly failed to identify the center-most frame as a voiced frame were not shown. Approximately 5.42% of the vowel utterances in the database were discarded for this reason.

Fig. 11 shows the performance of the formant-tracking subsystem on four vowels from the database that were matched to the vowels used to empirically determine the subsystem parameters. Each group of four data columns represents one vowel and each column within a group represents a particular speaker type. Each point on the scatter plot represents an individual vowel utterance. The vertical position of each point represents the normalized error or harmonic distance between a formant estimate and its true value. The shaded region represents errors within one harmonic of the true formant. The panels on the left (Fig. 11) show the full range of F1 and F2 estimation errors. The panels on the right zoom in on the region of the errors that lie within three harmonics for F1 estimation and eight harmonics for F2 estimation. Similar to Fig. 11, Fig. 12 shows the performance of the subsystem on the remaining 8 vowels from the database. Figs. 11 and 12 show that a majority of the errors are within two harmonics for F1 estimates and within five harmonics for F2 estimates. The results indicate that the formant-tracking subsystem generalizes over multiple speakers and vowels reasonably well.

DISCUSSION

A novel physiologically-based signal-processing strategy for vowel enhancement and formant-tracking was developed. Targeting formants for improving speech perception has been proposed in previous studies, for example, the Contrast-enhancing frequency shaping method, aimed at producing better representation of formants at the output of the auditory-nerve fiber responses [31]. This method used a time-varying high pass filter to amplify the region of the vowel-spectrum above F2. Extensions of this method amplified formants above and including F2 without applying gains between formants. These methods, based on traditional vowel-encoding theories,
centered on energy in speech stimuli near formants and were guided by response characteristics of AN fibers. The midbrain vowel-encoding hypothesis focuses on the envelope-coding properties of auditory midbrain cells. In this strategy, narrowband filters were employed to boost single harmonics near the first two formants. This scheme focuses on the restoration of amplitude-modulation characteristics in the responses of auditory-nerve fibers. In particular, the strategy weakens pitch-related fluctuations of AN fiber discharge-rates for frequency channels near formants, and by extension, aims to restore the contrast in modulation characteristics across the population of frequency channels that provide the inputs to auditory midbrain neurons. The strategy is focused on vowel sounds, but the approach applies to any voiced sound, which includes some consonant sounds. Whether enhancement of the voiced sounds can compensate for the decreased intelligibility of consonants in a noisy background will be tested in future studies.

The formant-tracking method guided by physiology presents a novel approach to the problem of formant estimation. In the past, many schemes have been proposed for formant-tracking. In some parametric approaches [e.g., 32, 33, 34], all-pole or pole-zero linear prediction models were employed to perform spectral fitting of short-time vowel spectra. Non-parametric methods based on observations of local energy maxima and frequency modulation near formants can also be found in the literature [e.g., 35, 36, 37]. A physiologically based formant-tracking subsystem proposed by Delgutte [38] was based on energy-related response characteristics of auditory-nerve fibers and analyzed response patterns of filters of an auditory filterbank to estimate formant frequencies using the distribution of energy across channels. The formant-tracking method described here is unique in its physiological basis on observed amplitude-modulation characteristics of AN fiber responses and the modulation-tuning properties in auditory midbrain neurons. Evaluation of the formant-tracking subsystem as well as the vowel-enhancement system will be discussed next.

A. Evaluation of Formant-tracking and Vowel Enhancement Strategies

Objective evaluation experiments were carried out to test the formant-tracking subsystem on single vowels on a large number of speakers. The vowel database contains speech samples from 139 American English speakers speaking 12 vowels, and was therefore used to evaluate the ability of the formant-tracking subsystem to generalize over different speakers and vowels. The results of the objective tests (Figs. 11, 12) show that the formant-tracking strategy is likely to generalize well over multiple speakers. The algorithm
performed more poorly for F2 estimates than for F1 estimates, and this trend was seen across speaker types and vowels. The majority of F1 estimation errors are below one harmonic, whereas they are below five harmonics for F2 (Figs. 11, 12). The possible reasons for this trend and general estimation errors are discussed in the next sub-section.

Comparison of results for all 12 vowels indicates that the formant-tracking strategy generalizes well over many vowels, including those not used for determination of the system parameters. Further fine tuning of the system parameters may be required in order to achieve higher accuracy for F2 estimates. Many formant-tracking techniques in the literature include gender detection modules to apply different processing or different parameters for male and female speakers. However, the performance of the formant tracking subsystem yielded similar results for adult speakers of both genders, in addition to children. Objective evaluation tests for vowels spoken in noise would reveal the suitability of this strategy to real-world sounds.

B. Sources of Errors, Issues and Improvements

In addition to frequencies corresponding to channels close to formants and those with low energy, minima were also found in channels in the neighborhood of those close to formants. Many of these minima occur at channels corresponding to the first few harmonics of the speech sample and are more defined for speakers with high voice pitch (women and children). These contribute to most of the F1 estimation errors and some of the F2 under-estimation errors where a minima at a frequency close to F1 (but higher in frequency) is selected as F2. Problems due to these smaller minima can be reduced with a more aggressive smoothing function and better minima-calculation techniques.

Vowels having F1 and F2 close to each other (e.g. /aw/) are more prone to F1 and F2 overestimation errors due to the merging of F1/F2 minima due to smoothing. In these cases, F3 is misidentified as F2. This case, combined with multiple minima near formants presents the tradeoff that the smoothing operation needs to overcome. Aggressive smoothing reduces overall F1/F2 estimation errors but would result in insufficient separation of F1/F2 minima in vowels with close F1/F2 frequencies. Another factor for F1/F2 estimation errors is that in some cases, $M_{rms}(f)$ exhibits broad and flat regions of minima with multiple undulations within the region. This causes one of those undulations to be misidentified as a formant.

$M_{rms}(f)$ was smoothed before minima calculation (section A.3.e in Methods). Smoothing is essential for minima calculation because $M_{rms}(f)$ may contain similar values at points adjacent to the center frequency corresponding to a formant. Therefore, during preliminary testing on a single utterance of four vowels, the formant estimate was erroneously calculated by the system as the center frequency adjacent to the one closest to the true formant. In a few cases, this “bias” was found to be towards higher center frequency; however this does not seem to be the general case as Figs. 11 and 12 show a bias towards underestimation by the formant-tracking subsystem. It is speculated that the role of smoothing may be a contributing factor for this phenomenon due to logarithmic spacing between each center frequency. Instead of symmetric smoothing weights, asymmetric weights may be required to account for the unequal distance between successive center frequencies. Asymmetric exponential smoothing weights were found to improve this problem in a few initial test cases, but a set of weights that generalized well could not be found trivially. For minima calculation, simple derivative-based minima techniques fail to apply due to the small number of points (one point for each center frequency of the auditory filterbank) and due to unequal spacing of the independent variable (center frequency). Minima calculation in the implementation was done using a built-in MATLAB function (findpeaks). To reduce errors due to low harmonics causing minima, the strongest minima is chosen from those that are within a spectral distance of 1.5 times the value of $F_{0est}$ from each other.

Formant estimation in vowels with low F1 frequencies (e.g. /ee/) can show large F1 over-estimation errors due to the effect of the slope offset factor ($k$ in (6)) on low-frequency channels. When a formant is close to the pitch, the source spectrum threshold function is likely to remain higher than the energy at F1 because the difference in energy between F0 and F1 is lower than $k$. This leads to insufficient saturation of channels near F1 and thus, in those cases, the formant is likely to be ignored by the algorithm.

The pitch extraction subsystem is crucial for the performance of the formant-tracking subsystem and the overall vowel-enhancement system. The accuracy of $F_{0est}$ is important for the saturating nonlinearity’s operation due to the dependence of its source spectrum threshold function (6) on the energy near the voice pitch. Additionally, the formant-tracking subsystem directly uses the distribution of the strength of F0-related fluctuations at the output of the modulation filter across each channel in the formant-tracking subsystem, which underscores the importance for accurate F0 estimation. A drawback of the simple variant of the autocorrelation function used (4) is that peaks corresponding to an integer multiple of the true pitch period may sometimes be the local maximum, resulting in $F_{0est}$ erroneously being calculated as half of the true pitch. This problem (called “pitch-halving”) is common in computationally simple pitch extraction algorithms and can be reduced by either preserving the tapering effect observed in basic autocorrelation function, or more robustly, by detecting these errors through additional logic in the pitch extraction algorithm [23].

Another major purpose of the pitch extraction subsystem was to identify voiced regions of continuous speech because the operations of formant-tracking and vowel-enhancement are carried out on only the voiced portions of speech. Detection of voiced speech in the current implementation is done on the basis of a measure called clarity – the relative strength of the autocorrelation function at the delay corresponding to the candidate pitch period to its value at zero delay. Frames having high values of this ratio were deemed to be voiced. A simple binary decision like clarity is, however,
unable to fully generalize on a large range of real-world speech. These problems were also observed during preparation of preliminary test datasets consisting of English sentences spoken in quiet. Inaccuracies in voiced segment identification of some sentences were found and could be corrected by adjusting the clarity threshold of the pitch extraction algorithm. For robust pitch estimation and voiced region detection, other more reliable methods that satisfy computational constraints can be used instead [39-41].

The primary role of the saturating nonlinearity in the formant-tracking subsystem is to exaggerate the difference in depth of amplitude modulation between filter channels. Thus, analogous to the outputs of modulation-tuned auditory midbrain neurons, simple modulation filtering of channel outputs results in low RMS values of channels near formants. Objective evaluation tests have shown that the operation of the nonlinearity is robust over multiple speakers and vowels. The system’s performance is likely to degrade in the presence of additive noise modulated at frequencies close to voice pitch. In preliminary tests, the formant-tracking subsystem proved to be reasonably robust over other values of the source spectrum slope (m) in addition to -9 dB/octave (6). However, it has shown sensitivity to the slope offset parameter (k). Smaller values of this parameter led to a lack of contrast between modulation strengths across filter channel outputs, hence resulted in the loss of minima corresponding to formant frequencies. In addition, increasing the value of this parameter would result in an increase of F1 over-estimation errors in vowels with low F1 frequencies (e.g. /ee/) for reasons explained previously.

The purpose of the formant enhancement stage is to selectively boost single harmonics closest to F1_est and F2_est. The bandwidth of the FIR filters used was set to 50 Hz, however the most suitable value for this parameter will be known through subjective evaluation experiments. For the same gain, a larger bandwidth is likely to be perceived as louder and less tone-like. However, increasing the bandwidth beyond values close to F0 result in audible fluctuations near formant frequencies due to the increased interference from adjacent harmonics.

During preliminary testing, a subject with high frequency hearing loss was allowed to listen to a few sentences processed by the vowel-enhancement system in order to adjust the volume to a comfortable level. The subject was then presented with sentences at values of g1 and g2 (see section B. in Methods) spanning 0 dB to 21 dB and the range of acceptable gains was determined. For this particular subject, the preferred range was between 6 dB and 15 dB. The subject was then presented a wider range of sentences processed using these gain parameters. The subject described the processed sounds as being noticeably different compared to reference sentences (processed with zero gains) but acceptable and “sharper”, for gains of 6 dB and 9 dB. The subject also described some sounds as being shrill and reported the perception of twin-voices. This perception of a “chorus-like” effect is likely due to phase distortion at the skirts of the narrowband bandpass filters used and better filter design or an appropriately wide bandwidth may lead to a more natural perceived quality.

During testing with sentences, low-frequency artifacts related to the frame length were audible, likely due to discontinuities at frame boundaries resulting from the formant enhancement stage. Further work is warranted in order to alleviate these problems in any frame-based implementation of the vowel-enhancement system. A sample-based system was created for generating test sentences for subjective testing. In this system, pitch and formant-tracking were done on a full sentence input using their frame-based implementations. However, smoothly varying values of F0_est, F1_est and F2_est were obtained by interpolating between each frame’s estimates. Using these parameters, the coefficients of the FIR filters in the formant enhancement stage were updated for each sample. This successfully removed the low-frequency noise due to frame discontinuities; however occasional noise artifacts that can be described as descending or ascending pure-tones were audible. This artifact was found to arise when any slowly changing F0_est is nearly equidistant from two adjacent harmonics and crosses over to the other half, making it closer to the harmonic adjacent to the one being currently boosted. Due to this crossover, the harmonic adjacent to the previously-dominant harmonic is now boosted, resulting in an abrupt and perceivable artifact. This noise was especially noticeable due to the “musical-noise”-like nature of this artifact. Two steps were taken to tackle this problem. First, calculation of the harmonic closest to F1_est and F2_est was modified to include history of the last harmonic calculated. Using this information, abrupt jumps between adjacent harmonics detected and the harmonic calculation was modified to select the harmonic closest to the harmonic calculated previously. Although this would lead to higher deviation from the true harmonic being boosted, it would still serve the purpose, because the gains applied to harmonics are likely to be high enough to make the harmonic dominate the AN fiber bandpass filter. This step is successful in eliminating most of the jumps between adjacent harmonics.

During testing with sentences, low-frequency artifacts related to the frame length were audible, likely due to discontinuities at frame boundaries resulting from the formant enhancement stage. Further work is warranted in order to alleviate these problems in any frame-based implementation of the vowel-enhancement system. A sample-based system was created for generating test sentences for subjective testing. In this system, pitch and formant-tracking were done on a full sentence input using their frame-based implementations. However, smoothly varying values of F0_est, F1_est and F2_est were obtained by interpolating between each frame’s estimates. Using these parameters, the coefficients of the FIR filters in the formant enhancement stage were updated for each sample. This successfully removed the low-frequency noise due to frame discontinuities; however occasional noise artifacts that can be described as descending or ascending pure-tones were audible. This artifact was found to arise when any slowly changing F0_est is nearly equidistant from two adjacent harmonics and crosses over to the other half, making it closer to the harmonic adjacent to the one being currently boosted. Due to this crossover, the harmonic adjacent to the previously-dominant harmonic is now boosted, resulting in an abrupt and perceivable artifact. This noise was especially noticeable due to the “musical-noise”-like nature of this artifact. Two steps were taken to tackle this problem. First, calculation of the harmonic closest to F1_est and F2_est was modified to include history of the last harmonic calculated. Using this information, abrupt jumps between adjacent harmonics detected and the harmonic calculation was modified to select the harmonic closest to the harmonic calculated previously. Although this would lead to higher deviation from the true harmonic being boosted, it would still serve the purpose, because the gains applied to harmonics are likely to be high enough to make the harmonic dominate the AN fiber bandpass filter. This step is successful in eliminating most of the jumps between adjacent harmonics. The second step was to identify the remaining jumps between harmonics and activate a transitory phase in which the gain of the filter centered at the new harmonic was slowly increased to its full value while the gain of the filter centered at the old harmonic was slowly reduced. Exponential ramping was used to monotonically modify the gains. An appropriate length of the transitory phase was found to be 300 samples. Although this sample-based system is not suited for real-time use, it is likely to prove useful for testing the system on real-world sentences and serve as a useful proof-of-concept.

IV. CONCLUSION

A new signal-processing strategy based on recent neurophysiological observations was developed with the aim of improving vowel discrimination in listeners with hearing loss. The observations also guided the design of a novel formant-tracking strategy which showed reasonable ability to generalize over multiple speakers and vowels. Objective evaluation of the formant-tracking strategy was carried out
and described. Future areas of improvements were identified.
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