

Appendix D (updated July 2014)

Date: July 1, 2014
To: Department Chairs and Center Directors
From: Jeffrey M. Lyness, MD
Re: Procedure for Evaluation of Assistant Professor Prior to First Reappointment

In 2002, the Dean's Office instituted the process for conducting the required review of assistant professors at the end of their first term, and for communicating this evaluation to individual faculty. At that time, the Dean's Office, Department Chairs, and Center Directors agreed that such letters are to be prepared by chairs, center directors (or both when appropriate) since they are most familiar with the faculty member's academic accomplishments, professional contributions, and plans for the future. This plan was initiated on October 1, 2002, with the sequence of steps outlined as follows:

- During the last year of the initial appointment (i.e. the third or fourth year), the faculty member should meet with the Chair (Center Director or both) for a detailed review of his or her academic progress. It may be desirable, when appropriate, to include the relevant Unit or Division Chief in such a meeting. The review should incorporate internal (departmental) review of the quality of the faculty member's efforts, drawing on the faculty member's prior annual reviews by the Chair or Chair-designee.
- An up-to-date copy of the candidate's CV, and a minimum of three letters of recommendation (usually internal) should be obtained.
- The Chair (and Center Director) should prepare a summary letter, addressed to the Senior Associate Dean for Academic Affairs (SADAA), which should include the following elements:
 - ✓ A brief description of the candidate's graduate educational background, specialty training, research training, board certification and experience, as appropriate.
 - ✓ A brief description of the faculty member's original goals and responsibilities, based on the original offer letter, and his/her subsequent activities in the areas of education, research, clinical and/or administrative service, as appropriate.
 - ✓ An assessment of the candidate's academic achievements, professional contributions, progress in achieving his/her goals, and success in integrating his/her activities within the department or center. This critical assessment should be done in the context of the candidate's chosen activity components (i.e., Research, Scholarship, Institutional Scholarship, Clinical, Teaching), which, as you know, must be specified at this time, at the latest.
 - ✓ A final paragraph summarizing the candidate's performance to date. This summary should also include, when warranted, specific recommendations to the candidate for further actions in any area of academic endeavor that should be pursued during the second term of his/her

appointment to assure subsequent promotion based on excellence in the designated components.

- ✓ The letter should conclude with a sentence recommending reappointment and, in addition to the chair's signature, should include a line for the Dean's signature. In accordance with our *Regulations of the Faculty*, the letter must show that the candidate is to receive a copy.
- The entire reappointment packet should then be sent to the SADAA. If, after review, the SADAA concurs with the evaluation, he/she will present the letter to the Dean for signature. Should the SADAA have questions or disagreements with any aspect of the chair's letter, such issues should be resolved and changes made, as appropriate, prior to presenting the letter to the Dean for signature.

Over the past months, these letters have less and less often included all these items. For academic, legal, and human resource reasons, it is very important to consistently adhere to this format. Thank you very much for your cooperation and for your assistance with this.