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House Keeping

• To reduce background noise during the webinar, please put yourself on ‘mute’ through your phone or computer.
• Due to the size of our group, I will not be answering questions during the webinar. Instead, please type in your questions into the ‘chat’ box on your screen. I will review/answer all questions at the end.
• If you experience any technical problems at any point during the webinar, the problem is likely to be on your end, so you will need to follow-up with your technology support person.
• The Webinar will end promptly at 4:30. If you would like to speak with me after the webinar, please e-mail me at rcasd@urmc.rochester.edu to set up a time to speak by phone.

Learning Objectives

• Increase understanding of...
  – Risks associated with pica
  – Why persons with developmental disabilities engage in pica
  – The behavioral assessment of pica
  – Interventions for pica that can be implemented by parents and service providers
History of Pica

- The term pica comes from the Latin “picus” after the Magpie, a bird famous for having an indiscriminate appetite.
- Reports of pica date to the 16th and 17th centuries in Greek and Roman cultures.
- Historical explanations include: tight-corseting, sexual frustration, and a folk medical practice to lighten one’s skin tone.

See: Parry-Jones and Parry-Jones (1992) for a historical description of pica.

Definitions of Pica in Peer-Reviewed Publications

- Eating of non-nutritive substances (Foxx & Martin, 1975).
- Piazza et al. (2002) defined pica as placing a pica item past the plane of the lips.
- Pica was defined as an occurrence or blocked attempt to place an inedible item or any simulated pica item past the plane of the lips (hand mouthing, mouthing of clothing, and mouthing of competing stimuli were excluded; Hagopian, Gonzalez, Rivet, Triggs, & Clark, 2011).

Diagnostic Criteria for Pica

- Eating one or more non-nutritive substances on a persistent basis for a period of at least one month.
- Pica must be developmentally inappropriate practice (>18 months of age).
- Not part of a culturally sanctioned practice.
- If an individual has a diagnosed mental disorder (e.g., schizophrenia), pica may only be concurrently diagnosed if it is sufficiently severe to warrant independent clinical attention.
- Upper age limit recently discontinued in DSM-V (18-year-of-age).
Pica Items Reported in the Literature

- Paper
- Inedible plants/leaves
- Dirt
- Sand
- Clay
- Large amounts of ice
- Rock salt
- Glass
- Coins
- Gloves
- Plastic items
- Shampoo
- Cigarette butts
- Elastic

Does the topography of pica matter?

- May suggest a nutritional deficiency (i.e., large amounts of ice, Coprophagia)
- Immediacy of need for the implementation of preventative strategies (safety checks, environmental sweeps)
- Targeted items suggest potentially important medical consultations (e.g., medical evaluation for intestinal blockage)
- Potential restrictiveness of behavioral interventions (e.g., need to conduct an assessment of potentially restrictive punishment-based strategies)

Possible Medical Side Effects of Pica

- Elevated lead levels
- Excessive tooth wear
- GI Complications such as constipation, ulcerations, perforations, diarrhea, and bowel obstruction
- Parasites
- Nutrient malabsorption
- Surgery
- Death
Theories of Pica

- Behavioral Hypothesis
- Nutritional/Medical Hypothesis
- Cultural Practices

Listed in order of importance from a clinical behavior-analytic perspective.

Behavioral Hypothesis

- According to a behavior-analytic model, pica is a learned behavior which can be explained in terms reinforcement, stimulus control, punishment, and learning history (Mace & Wacker, 1994; Progar et al., 2001; Wacker, 2000).

- Some behavior analysts have conceptualized pica as a stimulus-control problem. That is, persons with intellectual disabilities engage in pica because they do not discriminate edible from non-edible items. The discrimination hypothesis is supported by studies that demonstrate that teaching persons to discriminate edible from non-edible items reduces pica (Finney, Russo, & Cataldo, 1982).

Behavioral Hypothesis, cont

- The only evidence for social positive reinforcement (e.g., social attention from other people; access to high-preference items or activities) or social negative reinforcement (i.e., escape or avoidance behavior) comes from early studies published prior to the development of contemporary functional assessment and functional analysis methods.

- Several recent published descriptive and meta-analytic reviews have concluded that pica is maintained exclusively by automatic reinforcement based on the results of the recent peer-reviewed literature.
Nutritional/Medical Hypothesis

- According to the nutritional hypothesis, pica is related to a person’s nutritional status and deficiencies (e.g., iron, zinc).
- The nutritional hypothesis is supported by multiple studies that demonstrate that nutritional supplementation reduces the pica of some people successfully.
- Studies of brain structure and function demonstrate an association between damage to specific brain areas and pica in people/animals (elderly people with dementia).

Cultural Practices

- Although clinical reports focus on undesirable behavior in Western settings, pica occurs in commercial, medical, and cultural contexts across the world (Stiegler, 2005).
- Consumption of clay (geophagia) often augments procedures used for folk medicine:
  - Blends of soil sold by street vendors in Uganda are described as having unique curative powers (Geissler et al., 1999).
  - Clay may be consumed to treat diarrhea and intestinal discomfort and, due to its ability to absorb dietary toxins and reduce hunger, may be added to specific meals (e.g., fish) and to a pregnancy diet.
  - More common in females than males (Stiegler, 2005), geophagia is part of cultural practices related to pregnancy, child bearing, and breastfeeding (McKenna, 2006).

Behavioral Treatment Model of Pica: Current Status of the Published Literature

What is Evidence-based Behavioral Practice?
Conduct nutritional screening for iron and zinc deficits. Rule in/out any other possible related health concerns (e.g., constipation related to pica).

Evaluate nutritional supplements using single-case experimental design.

No Nutritional Deficit

Rule out in/out other possible health concerns (e.g., constipation related to pica).

Implement preventative behavioral strategies such as sweeping the environment according to schedule.

Response blocking.

Behavioral Interventions

Implement preventative behavioral strategies such as sweeping the environment according to schedule.

Response blocking.

Nutrition Treatment: Pace and Toyer, 2000

• Participant: The participant was a 9-year 5-month-old girl who had been diagnosed with severe mental retardation, iron deficiency, and anemia.

• Intervention: Vitamin and iron supplements.

![Graph showing latency to pica during alone and others present conditions.](image-url)
Nutritional/Medical Variables

Pica

Assessment of pica

- Analogue functional analysis is gold standard
- Indirect measure such as QABF may result in false positive results (particularly for social attention)
- Consider use of response latency as dependent measure to reduce opportunities to engage in pica
- Consider baiting environment with items safe to ingest (small pieces of paper, uncooked pasta, pasta cooked to a consistency of a rubber band). Caution – may want to consult with a medical professional and NEVER conduct an assessment of unsafe items consumed without all the proper approvals and medical consultation.
- Potential covert nature of pica
Preventative Strategies

Evidence-based Interventions for Pica (Least to most restrictiveness hierarchy)
1. Environmental Enrichment/Non-contingent reinforcement
2. Differential reinforcement
3. Discrimination Training
4. Response blocking
5. Punishment-based intervention packages (physical restraint, overcorrection, contingent aversive presentations)

See McAdam et al. 2012 for meta-analysis
Response Blocking

Favell, McGimsey, & Schell, 1982
Environmental Enrichment/Non-Contingent Reinforcement/Competing Items
Hagopian et al. 2011

Differential Reinforcement
Punishment-based Strategies

Ferreri, Tamm, & Wier, (2006)
Figure 1. The number of pica responses during baseline, intervention, and follow-up.

Pica

A Guide for Parents

https://www.autismspeaks.org/.../new-pica-tool-kits-autism...

Questions, Discussion, and Problem Solving

Contact information:
David_Mcadam@urmc.rochester.edu
Resources

• Rochester Regional Center for Autism Spectrum Disorder (RRCASD).
  – On-line educational resources, webinars, Information & Referral services.
  – Contact information:
    • Website: www.golisano.urmc.edu/rrcasd-nyautism
    • E-mail: rrcasd@urmc.rochester.edu
    • Tele: 1-855-508-8485

• Autism Speaks: website: www.autismspeaks.org
  • This site contains various toolkits and guides for home, school, work, safety, health/medical, etc.