ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR (rev. July 2014)

Appointment or promotion to Associate Professor is reserved for faculty who have demonstrated broad knowledge and expertise by an appropriate combination of the following activity components: 1) excellence in teaching; 2) excellence in at least one of the following: a) research or scholarship, with the promise of continued productivity and intellectually independent and creative contributions to basic or clinical science b) clinical skills and patient care practices or other professional services, or c) institution-wide scientific or scholarly contributions with significant impact on core missions of the School; 3) academic leadership; and 4) service to the School and the University. Faculty contributions may vary in depth of their emphasis in each of these activity components. Ad hoc committee review is required for appointment or promotion to Associate Professor, as well as for decisions regarding tenure and appointment or promotion to Professor.

Initial appointment or promotion to Associate Professor typically is for five years, but may be with a term of any duration of two-to-five years, or with tenure. For faculty with activity in the components of Research and Clinical, there may be a maximum of one five-year reappointment at this rank without tenure; i.e., a maximum of 10 years as untenured Associate Professor. For faculty with activity in the component Research without activity in Clinical, reappointment without tenure is not possible, i.e., a maximum of five years as untenured Associate Professor. However, at the request of the Department Chair and subject to the approval of the Dean, tenure decisions for faculty with activity in the component Research (with or without Clinical activity) may be deferred for one to three years by extending the pre-tenure appointment period; this process is intended for situations where there is reasonable expectation that the faculty member may be viewed favorably for the awarding of tenure at the end of the extension period, e.g., after obtaining new or additional external funding. The combined total of such tenure-deferral extensions should not exceed three years.

For faculty who do not have activity in the component of Research, there shall be no limit on the number of reappointments at this rank, which are typically for five year terms but may be of any duration of two to five years, or with tenure. Reappointments require the approval of Steering Committee, but do not require internal ad hoc committee review.

If an Associate Professor is not recommended for reappointment or promotion, the Dean shall be apprised of the basis for this action, and the chair will notify the faculty member in writing at least one year in advance of the expiration of the appointment if the appointment is for four or five years; if the appointment is for less than four years, the notification period should be three months for every year in the current appointment period. If such notification is given less than one year (or the prescribed number of months) before the expiration of the appointment, then the appointment will expire at the end of the required number of months from the date notification is given.

Specific Expectations for Promotion to Associate Professor

The specific expectations of the School regarding each faculty member’s achievements are reflected in the criteria for promotion as follows: Each faculty member must demonstrate excellence in at least one of the following areas: Research, Scholarship, Institutional Scholarship, or Clinical (plus, for those whose only foregoing contribution is Clinical, Contributions to URMC Missions). Each faculty member also must demonstrate excellence in teaching, and in academic service, leadership, and national recognition. A more detailed summary of examples of each component at the ranks of Associate Professor and Professor can be found in the Appendix.
**Activity Components**

**Research**  
Faculty with contributions in this component will have an identifiable area of scientific expertise in which they conduct intellectually independent research. Scholarly publications in appropriate high-quality, peer-reviewed journals and at an appropriate level of authorship are required. In addition, typically the faculty member’s research will be supported by independent or collaborative funding from organizations with competitive peer-review processes, or its value will be recognized by meritorious peer-reviewed grant applications (e.g., outstanding priority scores) even if not funded. National and international recognition of research in the scientific or professional discipline shall be essential for determination of excellence.

**Scholarship**  
The component denoted as “Scholarship” includes a range of scholarly activities beyond the funded investigative work denoted above as “Research.” Faculty with contributions in this component will have written works, which demonstrate a developed, in-depth approach of the highest quality to an area of focused interest. Scholarly publications or other works will be in appropriate high-quality venues and at an appropriate level of authorship. National and international recognition of scholarship in the scientific or professional discipline shall be essential for determination of excellence.

**Institutional Scholarship**  
Faculty with contributions in this component will have distinctive institution-wide scientific or scholarly contributions that have a significant impact on the core missions of the SMD. Faculty with excellence in this component typically have career paths revealing a sustained commitment to activities that transcend single departments or centers. Such faculty will demonstrate exceptional skills and sustained contributions in development of technologies and/or research facilities, or specialized technical, scientific, or analytical approaches with a broad institutional impact, and documented scholarship in these endeavors. Scholarship will be judged primarily by authorship (or co-authorship) of works such as research articles, syllabi/curricula, and technical protocols available to the national and international community, where the contribution of the faculty member is clear and substantial. Other national and international recognition for this work also shall be essential for determination of excellence.

In exceptional cases, excellence in this component can reflect unique institution-wide administrative contributions that have a significant impact on the core clinical and/or research missions of the SMD, even in the absence of sustained scholarship. These contributions will be judged by their institutional impact and importance, where the contribution of the faculty member is clear, substantial and unique. Examples of such institution-wide administrative contributions may include such activities as the leadership of institution-wide initiatives to improve quality of clinical care, patient safety, clinical compliance plans and policies, and similar efforts. Since administrative contributions of this kind frequently do not present opportunities for academic scholarship or formal teaching, evidence of scholarship or formal teaching contributions may not be required in this case.

**Clinical**  
Faculty with contributions in this component are most likely to be physicians or dentists with substantial patient care responsibilities, although some will be faculty with other doctoral degrees who by virtue of their training and expertise provide specialized professional services in patient care, education, research, or other aspects of URMC missions; examples include services provided by clinical laboratory scientists, radiation physicists, sociologists, and psychologists. Contributions in patient care or other professional services are expected to be of the highest quality. Regional or national recognition for clinical expertise shall be essential for determination of excellence.
Contributions to URMC Missions

Faculty whose only contributions among the components listed above are in clinical, i.e., those who do not have substantial contributions in Research, Scholarship, or Institutional Scholarship, are expected to actively support the academic missions of URMC. Such contributions may include the active support of and participation in education, scholarly activities including written works of various types, and the continuous enhancement of clinical services and health care delivery systems. Administrative and leadership roles in these missions may be clear evidence of such contributions. Such contributions are what distinguish faculty with academic appointments, whose primary activities are clinical and teaching, from faculty with professional appointments.

Teaching

All faculty with academic appointments must demonstrate excellence in teaching contributions. Teaching may be broadly construed as fostering the learning and development of any of a broad range of trainees, professionals in practice, or others, in any of a wide range of learning contexts and teaching methods. Evidence for teaching of the highest quality may include regional, national, or international recognition for teaching expertise and accomplishments.

Service, Leadership, and National Recognition

It is expected that faculty will use their expertise and skills in any of the above components to the benefit of their department, the SMD, the University, and/or their field or discipline. This will include administrative service of various types. Leadership may be demonstrated at local, regional, national, or international levels in the service of any of the above components, or for the department, the SMD, the University, or the field or discipline as a whole. Recognition of the faculty member’s work from outside the University is essential for determination of excellence.